

Spearhead

60p

No 247 SEPTEMBER 1989



**WHY ARE BLACKS MORE
LIKELY TO GO TO JAIL?**

(See page 3)

Nationalist comment

WHAT WE THINK

on the month's news

Government musical chairs

There is always something quaintly charade-like in a Cabinet reshuffle. The whole procedure for the allocation of responsibility in the various departments of government is anyway wrong at first base. The heads of ministries should be specialists, with a lifetime's training and experience in their allotted fields; that yesterday's Treasury Minister could be today's Foreign Secretary and yesterday's Secretary of State for Northern Ireland today's Secretary for Defence is just a symptom of the bumbling amateurism that pervades British politics; but such changes also hint at the record of ineptitude that must lie behind the facade of government. All told, the recent shake-up has involved no less than 13 changes in Whitehall. What should we deduce from this? What the Prime Minister would like us to deduce is that she is a lady of firm, decisive action, who is not afraid to apply the chop to her ministers when they fall down on the job. What, however, we should deduce is that Mrs. Thatcher must have exercised appalling judgement in the first place by wrongly appointing them. One or two such errors of assessment are human and permissible — but when we reach the number involved here we are entitled to question the Premier's competence in the way of selection.

Of course, we have seen it all before. The Macmillan purge of 1962 was a similar exercise: it came as the Government of that time was running into mounting national unpopularity, and the disastrous Prime



MRS. THATCHER
Making her ministers scapegoats for her own failures

Minister of that era had to find scapegoats somewhere for his own manifest failures of policy. That reshuffle preceded the electoral crash of two years later, and we cannot help feeling that the present one may be doomed to be followed by the same consequences.

So utterly second-rate is the national leadership material thrown up by the system misnamed 'democracy' that such regular team changes seem to be inevitable. Mr. Tom King has been a complete disaster in Northern Ireland, and how is he rewarded? Why, by the transfer of his non-existent talents to Defence, where, alarmingly, he will be likely to display the same incompetence. Douglas Hurd has been an equal disaster as Home Secretary, but he stays where he is. Why? For no better reason, it seems, than that when Maggie offered the job to failed Foreign Secretary Howe he didn't want it! As for Howe himself, he, it appears, has fallen foul of the Prime Minister because he has been unable to go along with her line on Europe — which all

begs the question of why this was not ascertained when he first became chosen for the Foreign Office job. Did Maggie really select him in ignorance of his views on European integration? If so, she was damned carelessly, to say the least. If not, then it would seem to confirm that it is *she* who has recently changed course on Europe, not Sir Geoffrey.

As for the latter's successor, Mr. John Major, he has not the slightest experience of foreign affairs — but no matter! He is an up-and-coming boy who has made all the right noises and smiled in all the right places. He is a thoroughgoing 'wet' who has offended no-one and looks docile enough to wag his tail at the Prime Minister's command for at least some years to come. He has even gone so far as to put himself on record, in an interview with *Marxism Today* (no less!), as welcoming the multi-racial society. Clearly a man with these excellent credentials had to be given some recognition. Sir Geoffrey's squabble with the Premier on Europe created a vacancy — it might have occurred anywhere but by chance it occurred there! Almost as if as a panic expedient, Mr. Major was given the post. That is how affairs are conducted in Downing Street, as always.

The reshuffle does not, however, seem to have gone down well in the press. Even the Government's friends in that quarter have been forced to admit what a botched and panicky operation it has been. It is of course symptomatic of a thoroughly rattled 'Iron Lady', who senses from the opinion polls that the chickens of her decade of ruinous leadership of Britain are coming home to roost. Like her predecessors, she had to blame somebody, while at the same time demonstrating that she was *doing* something. She made an almighty hash of it, as she has made an almighty hash of everything else. Her offer to Howe of the Home Secretaryship, surely knowing that knowledge of this would reach its existing tenant, was the action of a ruler who has lost control. Even she probably would not have handled anything quite so clumsily a year or so ago. But all bad governments see out their last days in this sort of way. Failure in national affairs, combined with the pygmy calibre of those presiding over them, comes to a climax

SPEARHEAD

No. 247 SEPTEMBER 1989
PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW
Editor: John Tyndall

Spearhead exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British Nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its Editor and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless it is specifically stated otherwise, the views expressed in signed articles or letters in *Spearhead* are the sole responsibility of their writers and do not necessarily represent the views of the Editor or the policies of any political organisation that *Spearhead* may support editorially.

The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* does not necessarily indicate that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function being advertised.

Spearhead welcomes enquiries from prospective advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by pre-payment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of each month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editor reserves the right to refuse to publish advertisements submitted.

The Editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts of articles for possible publication, which should normally not be longer than 1,250 words, unless especially pre-arranged with the Editor, and should be typed in double-spacing. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless the writers specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles accepted for publication should he deem this necessary.

Those wishing to reprint *Spearhead* articles should first gain the permission of the Editor and undertake to include with the printed matter the author's name and the name and address of *Spearhead*.

in an orgy of mutual recrimination, punctuated by dramatic gestures that amount to nothing more than a beating of the air. As the ship goes down, the captain sacks the First Officer and half the crew. It is a pathetic spectacle, but we should be used to it and should expect it. We feel safe with chumps at the helm of our national destinies, and we revere the system that puts them there. We should not be surprised when their folly builds up to a conclusion like this.

The centre folds

The Democrats — to give them their full name, the Social and Liberal Democratic Party — are in bad financial trouble. Facing debts of £400,000, they have been forced to sack half of their headquarters staff and to disband their national electoral machine. This, according to press reports, is due to a drastic loss of membership income and the draining away of financial support. Said President Ian Wrigglesworth, explaining the cutbacks, "Our financial problems are a direct consequence of our political problems."

The political problems amount very simply to this: that the 'centre' parties' honeymoon is over, and the voters are turning away from them in droves. Dr. Owen's Social Democrats have declined in the same way.

The Green Party seems, for the moment, to have captured that ground belonging to those who repudiate Conservative and Labour, but for how long is a matter of doubt. Some of the Greens' policies have recently been put under the microscope by the Tories, and the party has become exposed for the ragbag of left-wing extremists that it is. While its professed solutions to the problems of the environment are attractive to many people, its more general political programme is most certainly not so.

All this leaves a gaping great hole in British politics in the coming decade of the 1990s, a vacuum that needs to be filled in the way of a radical, non-Thatcherite but equally non-leftist alternative. To put it in a nutshell, the way is open for a massive resurgence in support for nationalism.

The great question remaining to be answered is whether those who lead the nationalist movement will prove big enough in vision, in heart and in attitude to meet the challenge. For the decade nearing its close, the nationalist camp has been tragically splintered due to the pettiness, self-centredness and ridiculous delusions of grandeur of a number of mini-chiefs, who persist in running their own separate and mutually antagonistic factions, quite blind to their own personal limitations and inadequacies. In a larger, unified movement these men would have something to offer in the way of talents and energies, but their boundless conceit blinds them to their shortcomings as party leaders, while their jealousy and resentment of those whose achievement is greater than theirs poisons their outlook and imprisons them within the narrow walls of the little gangs that they have the presumption to call parties. That is the problem.

As the 1980s have proceeded, the British National Party alone has established itself as the appropriate heir to the powerful nationalist movement that shook the establishment in the decade before, and this year in particular it has asserted its rightful claim to that title by an achievement that eclipses the others. It is to be hoped that those many sincere patriots who have hitherto remained outside the BNP through a well-intentioned but misplaced loyalty to the other factions with which they have been aligned will now recognise the imperative of British Nationalism getting together an effective

combination to exploit the opportunities of the decade soon to come, and to discard their former associations and throw their weight behind the BNP. If they do, they will be assured of a warm welcome. We must put past quarrels behind us and now work, march and fight together — for Britain!

English cricket suffocates in its own mire

Sixteen leading cricketers, forming the main core of the present England XI, have announced that they are going to forego international selection for the next few years in order to take up lucrative offers to play cricket in South Africa. This has prompted groans of dismay from cricketing officialdom, who are hard-pressed already to get together a team able to do better than disgrace itself against this year's Australian tourists. How could these players, they protest, desert us in our hour of deepest crisis?

Well, we have no sympathy with this officialdom whatsoever. England's cricketing hierarchy has over the past few years been digging the pit in which it finds itself, and now it seems that it is to be condemned to lie there.

There can be no doubt that in the vast majority of cases our cricketers going to South Africa are doing so for reasons of their own financial advantage. They cannot exactly be blamed for that: cricket is a young man's game; many of them are at an age when they must contemplate no longer being able to earn their living at it, and they are bound to give some thought for their security in the future.

But were the country's cricket establishment an institution able to command their loyalty and respect, and were playing for England in consequence the honour and privilege that it should be, some of these players might at least have experienced some counter-pull to balance the undoubted pull of monetary inducements that have been dangled in front of their noses by the South Africans.

But this is not the case. The cricket establishment has done nothing to earn such respect but, on the contrary, has earned nothing but contempt from vast numbers of people (including, no doubt, many professional cricketers) for its shameful capitulation over the South African issue.

Right from the days of 1970, when the South African tour of this country was cancelled, our cricket bosses have been on their hands and knees grovelling to appease the non-white elements of the world-wide cricketing fraternity. In all of the countries of the Third World where cricket is played, the considerations of politics have superseded the considerations of sport, and hatred for South Africa has poisoned these countries' attitudes to the game. Sensing no backbone in the 'liberal' cricketing administrators of England, Australia and New Zealand, they have kept up a steady campaign of blackmail aimed at intimidating these dripping wet officials into applying ever tighter screws against players with South African contacts.

The latest cricketers' rebellion — whatever the motives behind it — must be seen as a thoroughly desirable development. It has polarised world cricket — as indeed it needs to be polarised. Up to now, the cricketing authorities in the white countries have been so terrified of a cricketing boycott by such as the West Indies, India and Pakistan that they have cravenly given in to every noisy demand made by the upstart politicians from those parts of the world — to the point at which the coloured cricketing nations have been effectively calling the tune. The matter does not begin and end with cricket either, for the Third World lobby

has recently been threatening to boycott the next Commonwealth Games (if only they would!). Almost all the sporting establishments have retreated in the face of these threats, with one or two admirable exceptions like the various British Isles rugby unions. South Africa has been cornered and ostracised, all as a result of this pitiful attitude of surrender prompted by a terror of upsetting the Third World. A stand had to be made somewhere against all this nonsense.

The sixteen cricketing rebels have made it — for money, no doubt. But they have made it, and that is what matters. Let us now hope that many more follow their example and deliver to the English cricketing establishment the kick in the groin that it thoroughly deserves for its abject behaviour over all these years.

English cricket is rotten at the core, as the recent whitewash by the Aussies has shown. It needs overhauling from top to bottom, with the cleaning out of inept officials, followed by a further clean-out of the massive number of Third World players that now litter the county teams and hinder the progress of young native cricketing talent. It looks like English test teams are going to have to get hammered for a few years while this is happening — but that is far better than things just being allowed to drift on as they have been for the past decade or two.

Ignoring the obvious

We have recently heard for the first time of an organisation calling itself the 'Prison Reform Trust'. This organisation got itself into the news last month by the earth-shattering statement that Blacks in Britain stand much more chance of going to prison than others in the population — eight times more in fact.

This fact is, apparently, a source of great consternation to the Trust's director, Mr. Stephen Shaw. According to Mr. Shaw, Britain's overall rate for imprisonment is 98 for each 100,000 of the population but the rate for Blacks is 775 for every 100,000 of the population. This figure, he said, was "not known to be exceeded by any nation in the world."

What is the solution to the problem? According to Mr. Shaw, we need "many more black judges, magistrates, prosecutors, and police, probation and prison officers."

Mr. Shaw continued: "Most of all we need to tackle the material disadvantages endured by black people and the racist practices which result in rates of imprisonment which would even shame South Africa."

Not to be outdone, the Commission for Racial Equality has also got itself in on the act, saying that the numbers of black people going to jail was 'horrifying'. The CRE plans, in its own words, "to examine the administration of justice in the context of race relations."

So, Blacks are more likely to go to jail because we have not enough black judges, magistrates, lawyers, police, etc., etc., etc.! That seems to be the theory, but we would have a simpler explanation.

This is that Blacks go to jail in disproportionate numbers because Blacks, sadly, are committing crimes in disproportionate numbers. The fact may be a little too elementary to be grasped by the likes of the Prison Reform Trust and the Commission for Racial Equality, but it is nonetheless true for all that. And this is not just *Spearhead's* view — ask any senior police officer at Scotland Yard and he will tell you exactly the same thing.

To state this very basic fact is of course 'racist'. That is why the fact must be hidden.

DEWSBURY: THE LETTER THEY WOULDN'T PUBLISH

JOHN TYNDALL answers the critics of the BNP

The Editor
Yorkshire Post
Wellington Street
Leeds LS1 1RF

Dear Sir,

I have recently had the chance to peruse the extensive newspaper coverage of the disorders in Dewsbury following a public rally held in the town by our party on June 24th, and a number of matters arise out of this which deserve comment.

Some public spokesmen and spokeswomen have striven to give the impression that the BNP was at least partly responsible for what happened by electing to hold a 'race hate' meeting in the area. To this I would reply that it is not our purpose to promote 'race hate' but only to provide a voice for the millions of British people who believe that the multi-racial experiment in Britain has been proved a disastrous mistake, and who have never been properly allowed to express themselves on this point.

I have been asked: why choose Dewsbury? The simple answer is that Dewsbury is — still — a part of our country, and we recognise no part of our country to be out of bounds to British people like ourselves. In addition, we choose to hold rallies in areas where there is some indication that we have public support and where there is the nucleus of a local organisation of supporters able to attend to the arrangements involved. There is nothing more to it than that.

The people who have condemned the BNP should be reminded that during the past year at least one public demonstration by Asian groups has been held in Dewsbury, which passed off entirely peacefully. Why peacefully? Because the British National Party made no attempt to turn up and cause trouble but, on the contrary, respected the right of the Asians to public assembly and free speech. We have only asked that others respect our own rights in the same regards.

Yet against this background Dewsbury MP Mrs. Ann Taylor has the unbounded nerve to blame the BNP for the troubles that occurred in Dewsbury on June 24th!

Mrs. Taylor and others, including, it seems, Assistant Chief Constable Dennis O'Toole, have suggested that the events in Dewsbury provide grounds for introducing new legislation empowering the Home Secretary to place a ban on rallies likely to result in disorder. From the standpoint of the mobsters who planned and organised the Dewsbury trouble, this would be a highly convenient move. It would say to them: "Your violence and thuggery have paid off — we are now going to oblige you by banning every public meeting you dislike." This would be a message to the mobsters to travel to, and attack, all such gatherings all around the country. My

Following the British National Party's open-air rally in Dewsbury, Yorks., on June 24th, and the subsequent violent rampages through the town of Asian mobs, a vast amount of public controversy has occupied the columns of the newspapers in Yorkshire, with the BNP (as might be expected) taking a hammering in the way of being landed with the blame for disorder caused by others. To state the BNP point of view, party leader John Tyndall wrote a letter to The Yorkshire Post on the 6th July. True to form, the newspaper never printed the letter, nor even any parts of it, nor extended to Mr. Tyndall the briefest courtesy of an acknowledgement. We feel that our readers may be interested to know of the contents of the letter, particularly as they may apply to subsequent situations of a similar nature in which the BNP is involved.

speculation? No, we have been promised just such a development by Mr. Khalid Hussain of the Black Workers' Group, who has said that "wherever the BNP went, black workers would oppose them." (*Yorkshire Post*, June 26th). If the legislation advocated by Mrs. Taylor and Assistant Chief Constable O'Toole were put into effect, the likes of Mr. Hussain and his Black Workers' Group would become the arbiters of whether or not patriotic British people were to be allowed to hold public meetings in their own country.

If legislation is to be introduced to stop what happened in Dewsbury on June 24th, I would

suggest that it would be much better if it were to empower authorities to place bans, not on those who are first to announce rallies in a particular area, but on those who, following the announcement of such rallies, proceed to announce and organise counter-rallies in opposition to them; for there is not the slightest doubt in my mind that the great majority of these counter-rallies are held for no other purpose than to mobilise people in such areas to cause trouble.

This was evident in Dewsbury on June 24th. I am not impressed with the statement of those involved in the rally held by the Black Workers' Group to the effect that the intentions of such a rally were entirely peaceful and that only a few hotheads, not connected with the BWG, subsequently committed acts of disorder — 'provoked', as has been suggested in some reports, by pro-BNP hotheads. Such a claim is rank humbug. If the original intention behind the rally of the Black Workers' Group was peaceful, why then was the rally timed to end at 1.30 p.m. — just half an hour before the expected start of the BNP rally? It does not require an excess of intelligence to deduce that the entire purpose of the timing and location of the BWG rally was to get hundreds of people to Dewsbury so that they could be whipped up into a hostile frenzy against the BNP, then be organised to go to the latter's rally to attack it.

These very obvious facts, apparent to any

Contd. on next page



WANTON DESTRUCTION

In this picture a group of young Asians is seen attacking the Scarborough public house in Dewsbury. Terrified customers had to barricade themselves inside. The pub has nothing whatsoever in the way of connections with the BNP

rational man or woman, do not appear to have occurred to Dewsbury's lady Member of Parliament — or is it simply that Mrs. Taylor is very conscious of where her votes are?

Some mention has been made in the press of a group of football tearaways, not connected with the BNP, who attached themselves to our rally and subsequently were alleged to have caused a great deal of destruction in the town, and indeed some reports have stressed the role of these elements to the point of making it appear that they were just as much responsible for the disorders as the gangs of marauding Asians, if not more so. The facts, however, do not bear this out. As a speaker and observer at the BNP rally, I was able to form some picture of the size of this football group. It was decidedly small — 20 in number at the very most. Though I was not a witness to subsequent outbreaks of trouble in the town, it is clear to me that a group of this size could, at the very worst, have been responsible for only a very small portion of the mayhem that occurred. There is not the slightest doubt, from all the reports that I have read and heard, that the bulk of the trouble was caused by Asian youths. It is also clear that these rampaging Asian youths were picking on targets that had not the remotest connection with the BNP: a

local pub, local shops, local bystanders and people going about their shopping and numerous parked cars.

I should finally like to comment on Assistant Chief Constable O'Toole's tribute to the main body of the Asian community in the town for the fact that they did not partake in the disorders but dissociated themselves from them. This is truly touching, but it would have sounded rather more sincere if it had been accompanied by a similar tribute to the main body of British National Party supporters, who behaved in likewise an exemplary fashion. In fact, my subsequent enquiries have established that, as my colleague Stanley Clayton-Garnett has said in the *Yorkshire Evening Post* (June 30th), no BNP member was involved in the violence. It is also a fact that, to our knowledge, no BNP member was arrested. It is a pity that Mr. O'Toole did not see fit to mention this, or that, if he did, no newspaper saw fit to report it.

I should like in conclusion to express my sincerest regrets to those people of Dewsbury who suffered attacks to person or property during the hooliganism of June 24th. They have every reason to be outraged. I hope they will recognise, however, that the blame for these incidents lies squarely with the mobs of

Asians who rampaged through the town on that day. I hope that they will also recognise that if our party were, on this account, to forego its right to hold public assemblies for fear of what its opponents might do, it would represent a great victory for the mobsters and an end to free expression in Great Britain. I might also add that those people of Dewsbury who voted in the last election for Mrs. Ann Taylor have every reason to be outraged at her remarks subsequent to the event, which amount to a virtual whitewashing of the mobsters and a grossly unfair slur on our party. That an elected Member of Parliament should make such irresponsible statements is something that ought to give many local voters a great deal on which to ponder.

I recognise that this letter is of much greater length than is normal to your correspondence column, but I hope that you will consider that, in view of the vast amount of newspaper space that has been given to the events of June 24th in Dewsbury, it is only right that I should be allowed, as the head of the organisation blamed by many for the trouble, to give our picture of what happened.

Yours faithfully
JOHN TYNDALL
Chairman, BNP

RACE: THE SPEECH THAT WAS NEVER MADE

JAMES MOTTRAM, gagged on the platform, expounds his thoughts in our columns

I am indeed grateful to my hosts, the organisers of this conference, for the invitation to speak to you and for permission to address an audience of Europeans in English. We may not speak the same language, and yet I do not feel a stranger here among you. We are of one race and we share a common cultural heritage. It is because I am aware of the value of this heritage that I am proud to call myself a European.

It is therefore with regret and feelings of shame that I have to say that the people of Britain are in danger of losing their racial identity because, contrary to the wishes of most British men and women, and without any mandate from the people, governments of the UK have permitted coloured immigration into the country on a colossal scale, and given British citizenship to vast numbers of Africans and Asians.

These coloured immigrants are so profoundly different from Europeans, and have arrived in such large numbers, that, over a period of time, and as a result of mixed marriages, the population of the UK could become a coloured people — showing in their faces the features of the Asian and the Negro. In the long term, the people of Britain could cease to be a European people in any racial sense of the word.

Some few months ago the author received and accepted an invitation to speak on psychology and race at a conference to be held in a European city. In European countries, as in the UK, however, such is the fear among patriotic citizens of being harassed by the authorities, vilified in the press and subject to every kind of abuse and misrepresentation by the media, the meeting was cancelled for lack of support. The author has sent us the text of his undelivered talk, which is printed here in abridged form. Some of the deletions have been made for reasons of limited space, but some others have been necessitated by this country's 'race relations' laws, which make certain types of comment on immigrant ethnic groups a punishable offence.

When I am critical of coloured immigration, I refer not to individuals but to the policy of massed immigration itself, whereby a population numbered in millions has been allowed to colonise large areas of the UK, against the wishes of the indigenous white people. There are now many parts of Britain where a Briton feels a foreigner in his own country.

Under the law of the United Kingdom, white citizens are compelled to accept coloureds on equal terms in all situations and all manner of association. Whatever the

nature of their wishes, they are forced into dangerous intimacy with the coloured population. White men and women must accept Africans and Asians as neighbours and as colleagues at work whether they want to or not, and these same people, as parents, are forced to see their children educated alongside children of African or Asian origin, sometimes in classrooms where white children are in a minority.

HYPOCRISY

Rather significantly, the race relations laws do not appear to apply to the hypocritical politicians who made them. White citizens of the UK are forbidden to discriminate between racial groups, but political parties tend not to favour coloured candidates for parliament.

It is significant also that the race relations laws are regarded with contempt by many of the coloured population of the UK. Coloured immigrants are free to discriminate between other people in terms of race or religion. Asians despise Negroes; Moslems despise Sikhs. Coloured immigrants may discriminate in favour of their own ethnic communities, and Afro-Caribbeans, Asians and people of mixed breed may express hatred of the white population without fear of prosecution by the Race Relations Board, whilst even the mildest

Contd. overleaf

RACE: THE SPEECH THAT WAS NEVER MADE

(Contd. from prev. page)

criticism of a coloured community by a white citizen of the UK is considered deplorable and is forbidden by law.

At the time of the passing of the Race Relations Act, I lectured in Psychology in what subsequently was to become a university department of Social Sciences. I wrote to quality newspapers protesting at the injustice of the race relations laws, and, in consequence of what I had written, I was invited into the television studios and appeared in several programmes in which I was again critical of these same laws, the Government's immigration policies and the behaviour of some sections of the immigrant population. In one of these programmes I appeared together with a lady and gentleman with whom the producer disagreed as much as he disagreed with me. I recall that the three of us were invited to take part in the discussion to the tune of 'Three Blind Mice'. Following the programmes, I was the victim of a slander campaign by students with whom I had never been in contact, and abused as a 'racialist' by some of my colleagues, who advised me to remain silent on all racial issues. Some time later, one young man considered he was doing me a favour in pointing out that views like mine should not be expressed because they were not popular. It mattered nothing to him what was true, what was just or what was important. In his opinion, one should say only what was expected of the academic, only what people wished to believe and only what would meet with approval. Such was the cowardice and hypocrisy to be encountered amongst academics at that time; it continues to be endemic in university circles.

WHAT IS 'RACE'?

Before I speak of racial differences, I should like to make clear what is meant and implied by the word 'race'.

Men and women are a species of living things: races of men and women are sub-species or varieties of people who are recognisable because of inherited characteristics which identify them as distinct groups or races. Scientists may differ with regard to the names they give to these different races, but names are unimportant. No sane person will have difficulty in distinguishing between white Europeans, Negroes, Asians and Orientals. In terms of skin colour alone, we may separate the white from the black and the brown and the yellow. Of course there will be some mixed breeds at the boundaries between races, but the existence of such people does not for a moment support the view that distinct racial categories are indefinable. Differences in skin colour, in hair texture, in facial features and physique are inherited, ineradicable and obvious; other differences may be less obvious but they are more profound, and among these we may consider even the possibility of difference in terms of basic methods of reasoning. Some little while ago I was told of a South African housewife who employed a Negress as a cook. About to go shopping for provisions, the housewife asked the cook if



PARTS OF BRITAIN WHERE BRITISH PEOPLE FEEL FOREIGNERS
A scene from St. Pauls area of Bristol

they needed any sugar. "We've got sugar, Missus," was the reply. A day later, when the supply of sugar in the household ran out, the lady of the house was reproaching her cook: "Yesterday," she said, "when I asked if I should buy any sugar, you said we had some." "Yes, Missus," replied the cook, "yesterday we had sugar."

There are of course many other differences between races which, although not inherited, are very important. This is particularly so when such differences make the coloured immigrant unacceptable in a white community. Consider, for example, differences in attitudes as exemplified by:-

- (a) Many Negroes' attitudes towards work as something to be done exclusively by females.
- (b) Many Negroes' contempt for parental responsibility, manifest in the number of illegitimate and abandoned children of Negro and mixed marriages.
- (c) Many Asians' abuse of women as chattels to be used or sold into marriage.
- (d) Many Asians' employment of child labour, contrary to the laws of Western European countries.
- (e) Many Asians' family loyalties, justifying, in their opinion, abuse of the law in the interests of relatives.
- (f) The tendency of many Afro-Caribbeans and Asians in Britain to show a total disregard for environmental hygiene and, even when fastidious about personal cleanliness, to throw refuse happily out of the window.

All of these attitudes, unacceptable amongst Europeans, have been and continue to be the cause of so much justified disapproval of coloured immigrants in a white society.

'CULTURAL' ARTIFACTS

Some other differences are described, in my opinion absurdly and inaccurately, as 'cultural' differences between races, when the artifacts brought into the comparison can hardly be considered cultural in any meaningful sense of the world. Under the

heading of 'culture' we are asked to include:-

- (a) The monotonous sound of the Negroes' bongo drums and the Asians' cacophony of gongs and symbols, together with their derivatives in the West: the hysterical grunting and screaming of the 'pop' scene.
- (b) Cannibal masks presented as 'art'. On a recent visit to the Horniman Museum in London I was sickened by the spectacle of a white teenage degenerate, complete with earrings, squatting on its haunches, chimpanzee fashion, and staring vacantly at an 'ethnic' mask — a memorable example of the moron communicating with the savage.
- (c) Mutilation of the body represented as desirable.

These things are not evidence of culture but the mindless products of primitive communities: not only are they without value for the civilised European, they are without value even amongst the simple people who produce them: when these same individuals learn of Western European achievements they soon abandon their so-called 'cultural' values. It is when he become rich from the value of the raw materials of his own country that he has never understood how to use and exploit that...

- (a) The African does not want a leopard skin and a necklace of lion's teeth; he wants a transistor radio, a ghetto-buster that will make as much noise as possible.
- (b) The wealthy Arab does not want a racing camel; he wants a Mercedes or a Rolls Royce.
- (c) The American Indian does not want to dance around a totem pole or live in a wigwam; he wants whisky and television.
- (d) The Australian Aborigine is not content to go walk-about or play with a boomerang; he wants money and real estate.

All of these people want the benefits of western technology, which they have been unable to create or reproduce. It is nonsense to pretend that they have, or ever had, cultures of their own. Bernard Shaw expresses this sentiment perfectly when he says of primitive peoples that there is all the difference in the

Contd. on next page

world between wearing a ring on your finger and putting a ring through your nose, and, in the same context, he pillories the Hampstead half-wit who, preferring every other country to his own, thinks an abominably prepared curry superior to a perfectly cooked roast beef.

THE 'RACIALIST' LABEL

The Western European man or woman who is aware of these racial differences may fairly and accurately be described as a student of the racial question. In consequence of being in possession of such knowledge, that man or woman will, in the UK, almost certainly be called a 'racialist' and abused as one who is hostile to people of a different race and anxious to injure or exploit these other races. There is, of course, no reason whatsoever to suppose that a 'racialist' feels any animosity towards people of other races; the 'racialist' simply wishes to retain the racial character and integrity of his own people, and in consequence must disapprove of mixed and indiscriminate breeding which would eventually eliminate all racial differences. These differences have taken thousands of years to evolve, and to destroy them would be contrary to the evolutionary process, which has always favoured the differentiation of species and the emergence of distinct varieties with recognisable characteristics.

Those who abuse the 'racialist', usually without any reason whatsoever, describe themselves as 'multi-racialists', and their aim is to reverse this evolutionary process by actively encouraging mixed breeding in order to eliminate racial characteristics and bring about a world inhabited by only one type of men and women. Speaking of dogs in similar situations, there would be no Alsations, no Poodles, no Pekinese and no English Sheep-dogs but just one mongrel dog of indeterminate breed. The multi-racialist committed to such a disgusting programme would argue that by interbreeding we combine the best characteristics of individual races in one type of man and woman, all of the same colour and

with all physical and mental features in common. Here again I may refer to Bernard Shaw, who was once approached by a woman who considered herself beautiful, who suggested that they cohabit in order to produce a child to be born with her beauty and his brains. But what, Shaw asked the woman, might be the dreadful fate of this child if it happened to be born with his beauty and her brains? History would suggest that interbreeding usually results in a combination of the worst of each race's characteristics rather than the best of them.

The multi-racialist — whether he be the eager lickspittle of the race relations industry or the sort of hack who is manipulated by the media — is dangerous, evil and perverted, and for the following reasons:-

(a) He is dangerous because inter-breeding is damaging to the peoples of all races — indeed from the point of view of the white European it is a form of genocide.

(b) He is evil because he wishes to take away the individual's freedom of choice: people will always prefer to be amongst their own kind and discriminate in favour of their own kind, without any hostile intention against those whom they perceive to be different from themselves. They should be free to discriminate on a racial basis and free to choose those they will accept into intimate relationships.

(c) He is perverted because he wishes to destroy varieties and differences amongst peoples that make human populations interesting.

The consequences of inter-marriage between black and white and of mixed breeding between coloured and European people of the sort encouraged by the multi-racialist are extremely undesirable because they must result in...

(a) The moral and intellectual degeneration of the entire population.

(b) The likely descent of many among the resulting community of mixed breeds to poverty and crime.

(c) The certainty of inter-racial conflict and...

(d) An attempt to control this conflict by criminal and hypocritical politicians who will seek to make laws ever more unjust to the white population and to terrify that white population into silence by depriving its members of freedom of speech — as has been accomplished already to a very considerable extent.

In view of these manifest evils and undesirable consequences, one may reasonably ask why is coloured immigration tolerated amongst so many European nations? The answer to this question is, I think, evident to any student of human psychology.

WHY ARE COLOURED IMMIGRATION AND MULTI-RACIALISM BEING PROMOTED?

Coloured immigration is permitted and welcomed by unprincipled politicians...

(a) Because coloured immigrants are willing to do many of the dirty jobs that white workers are unwilling to do themselves.

(b) Because immigrants are a source of cheap labour and governments are engaging in a modern version of the slave trade. Immigrant labour enables politicians to supply to their voters cheaply the goods and services demanded by contemptible Whites who prefer not to work and who live parasitically on the social services. In this way, political parties are promoting and subsidising vice among the lowest sections of the white community.

(c) Because poor Whites find some satisfaction in pretending that they are no longer the lowest class in society — after the immigrants have arrived. Stupid Whites of all social classes find much pleasure in patronising people, and they consider themselves at liberty to patronise all coloured people indiscriminately.

(d) Because multi-racialism has become the new religion propagated by the mass media and all agencies of government. Such foolish sentiments as it entails appeal to the vanity of many white citizens of the countries affected who like to think that they are kind, generous, charitable and worthy people in providing the poor immigrant with a better life than he was able to enjoy in his own country of origin. For these silly, sentimental white men and women, the coloured immigrant is rather like a pet animal. They want to be nice to it because it makes them feel good. Charles Dickens was writing in Victorian times about Mrs. Jellyby, the middle-class mother of a large family who neglected her own children because all her time was given to promoting the welfare of African natives about whom she knew nothing whatsoever. One scheme intended to improve these natives' economy involved teaching them how to manufacture and export piano legs. We may say now, as always, that charity begins at home: the same foolish people who profess to care about the poor in backward countries will do nothing to help a next-door neighbour in need. Such was always the hypocrisy of the do-gooder.

When we ask ourselves who is to blame for the arrival of coloured immigrants into Europe, with all the consequences following upon their invasion, then we must all of us accept some responsibility for the present sad

Contd. overleaf



TRIBAL MASKS

It is absurd, says the writer, to talk of these as 'culture'

RACE: THE SPEECH THAT WAS NEVER MADE

(Contd. from prev. page)

and alarming state of affairs. In two tragic wars, the finest men of European countries fought and died to maintain their national sovereignties. Those same countries have since been invaded by vast numbers of alien people. If those who lost their lives in the wars had survived, it is probable that there would have been no coloured immigration into Europe. Consider some of the ways in which all of modern society may be said to be degenerate:-

(a) Patriotism is discredited.

(b) The forces of law and order are prevented from functioning properly.

(c) We have abandoned discipline in the home and in school, and the parent or schoolteacher who wisely and justly punishes a child may be convicted of assault. Not only are children deprived of sound advice, they are often over-indulged and become an embarrassment to their parents, who may even be afraid of them.

(d) In these modern times, have we not all of us become rather too fond of easy living? We

have seen our heavy industries abandoned and we buy many of our luxury goods from the Far East. For many years it was my ambition to own a Rolleiflex. I have two of these superb cameras, each over forty years old and made in Germany. The third 'Rolleiflex', bought more recently, was manufactured in Singapore. The workers who once made these superb instruments may now choose not to work at all and yet still live comfortably on state benefits. (e) Some few decades ago, teenagers worked hard to obtain professional qualifications. In Britain now, these people are often poorly educated and have huge sums of money to spend on 'pop' trash, expensive clothing and corrupting entertainment. The traditional British boarding school once produced well-mannered young men and women accustomed to Spartan conditions and a healthy environment. Just recently, the headmaster of a British boarding school was boasting about the fact that his was a school in which every child was required to have its own teddy bear in the dormitory!

If we examine the evils of modern society: traffic in drugs; the sale of pornography; the appetite for sex and violence; the toleration of sexual deviants; sympathy for criminals but

not for their victims; political corruption and ineptitude, we have all the symptoms of a decadent society. The dependence of European nations on the cheap labour of coloured immigrants is likewise a symptom of decadence, but it is important to emphasise the fact that the coloured immigrant is the consequence, and not the cause, of our decadent condition. Mixed marriages between white and coloured partners could, however, be responsible for our ultimate and irreversible degeneration.

If the people of European race are to survive, it seems to me essential that they have pride in their own racial inheritance and show some concern for its preservation. In order to ensure their survival, it is essential that in many ways white Europeans are segregated from coloured immigrants who have been given citizenship of European countries, and if there is to be any solution to the problem of race in Europe it seems to me necessary to insist upon the eventual repatriation to their countries of origin of the Afro-Caribbeans and Asians now settled in the UK and other European lands. The alternative to such a solution, in Britain at least, could well be a revolution or civil war.

THE NATIONALIST DYNAMIC

PETER FOWLER examines the forces of the human spirit that guide us in the struggle

THAT British Nationalists are prophets without honour in their own country — yet that this is in fact the greatest of honours — is something far beyond a mere paradox.

Our fight is waged under a system whose political, social and moral consensus is rabidly hostile to all that we stand for: an antagonism processed and dispersed on a gross scale by government, mass media and a degenerate intelligentsia.

Nevertheless, men and women in all walks of life deliberately forsake a comfortable, commonplace existence (or, in some instances, 'respectability' and material success), only to be harassed, defamed and quarantined at the behest of a totally unscrupulous establishment and spurned by many of their compatriots.

"We face the concentrated enmity and fury of the whole of the old world of politics. We face the lies and distortions of a corrupt press. We face the violence of the hate-crazed red mob. And we face the apathy born of false illusions of security and affluence. All these obstacles make our mission a hazardous one which will demand from us the highest exertions of staying power and valour of which man is capable." (John Tyndall, *The Eleventh Hour*, page 608).

Here is an intimidating challenge, and what is it that prompts increasing numbers of Britons to accept it?

As the 20th century draws to a close, there is in motion a slyly conceived and well orchestrated conspiracy to obliterate the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic people (indeed to mete out this

fate to the whole of the White Race, wherever it exists).

According to this design, the international wielders of money would have us vanish from the earth in a welter of anarchy and miscegenation. Suitably demoralised and degraded by propaganda and permissiveness, we are to be fed into their one-world threshing machine as racially distinct grain, to emerge at the other end in the form of mongrelised chaff.

Only the most contemptible of spiritual enunchs could tamely submit to such a programme.

Nationalists will have none of it!

For us, nothing is more sacred than the community of our racial kindred; and in defending and preserving that community no sacrifice may be shunned, no compromise entertained.

Our values are racial values, and upon those values we stand or fall.

CONSCRIPTS OF CONSCIENCE

Thus are the lines of conflict clearly drawn.

But one vital disparity between ourselves and our opponents in this confrontation should be noted.

Nationalists are not, in the strictest sense, volunteers.

We are not a special strain of fanatics, born and bred to the battlefield, and in a primitive sweat to enter the fray — the 'mindless thugs' so endlessly projected by establishment propaganda.

Voluntary enlistment in the sphere of

contemporary politics is, on the contrary, the prerogative of quite another species: the so-called 'professionals' of the Old Gang — the poseurs, the impostors and the traitors, for whom the liberal-democratic system is rigged, and by whose labours it is sustained.

Careerists to the core, these employees of International Finance are never impelled by fine instincts or commendable ideals, still less by disinterest. Hence we see them forever tumbling over one another in their haste to staff the offices of power — because no discomforts, no deprivations and no high purposes are involved!

Nationalists, though swayed by all the normal inclinations to proceed peacefully in pursuit of bread, leisure and personal advancement, are motivated on a completely different level.

Proud of their native birthright, aware of the assault on their nation, and fully awake to the fate awaiting future generations if no resistance is mounted — for them there are no soft options.

Cognisance imposes an unavoidable obligation to act.

In this light, nationalists are, from leadership to rank and file, **conscripts of conscience.**

Such a conscientious reaction to events, moreover, signifies something immeasurably removed from the simple urge to self-preservation.

It is a response to 'the voices of the blood that speak in moments of decision' — the profoundest kind of patriotism.

Contd. on next page

DESTINY

Needless to say, this alone gives us an immense moral advantage over our adversaries. But the racial will-to-live should not be viewed in isolation.

The mere **survival** of a people is scarcely enough.

For a race to follow a steadfast course of progression from barbarism to ever-ascending reaches of culture, there has to be some enormous driving force, some irreversible dynamic at work. And in this context, we come face-to-face with what Spengler termed the "...unalterable necessity of destiny" — a destiny he defined as "...an inner certainty that is not describable."

We are dealing, in fact, with that sense of historical inevitability which our forefathers experienced with passionate intensity.

Our civilisation is rooted in the European's classic conviction that his role in the human scheme is pre-ordained. It is the key to his triumphant march through aeons of unparalleled achievement.

The 'Man of Destiny' — the leader of men who appears at the appropriate hour in a nation's history — always symbolises this phenomenon, and on occasion may even become its physical embodiment; but he can do no more than extract and exploit that which already prevails within the mass of his followers.

If we are to identify the supreme tragedy of our time, it is that this collective sense of transcendent folk vocation has, for decades, been systematically emasculated by 'liberalism' in all its forms.

THE ULTIMATE INFAMY

During the present century, two pitiless wars have scourged Europe, and in both of them the 'bankers' loot in terms of Nordic blood has been prodigious.

The Second World War, however, reinforced the swaggering predominance of the Money Power to an unprecedented degree.

Not only were splendid visions nullified and a resurgence of western genius rudely extinguished, the nation-states of our entire continent lay finally exposed to every free-ranging whim of the international despoiler.

Applying the morals of the counting house and the mentality of the ghetto, he was at last able to give unlimited scope to his conspiratorial appetites.

Today, our people successfully rotted by permissiveness; beguiled by monetarism; stupified by 'designer' ideologies and cowed by indoctrination, the mortal enemy of our civilisation intensifies his favourite mode of attack.

Across our fallen ramparts he pours his shock-troops, the alien mercenaries through whom we are to be race-mixed into extinction.

After forty years of indiscriminate subversion, genocide remains the ultimate infamy elected by the wheeler-dealers of money for our disposal.

GLOBAL STRUGGLE

These are sombre realities, but in acknowledging them nationalists have least cause to wilt or wring hands.

The decisive encounter between the old



'CONSCRIPTS OF CONSCIENCE'
Typical team of BNP activists, out spreading the message

order and the new still lies ahead of us, and if our destiny is to be fulfilled it cannot be otherwise than by entering the maelstrom.

The eventual armageddon cannot be avoided.

But at this juncture we should appreciate that, whilst we fight specifically to defend the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic people, British Nationalism is the participant in a much more extensive campaign.

It is no longer a question of individual white nations aspiring to self-interest — political, economic or otherwise. The fight for survival must now and henceforth be essentially **racial** — a global struggle for the perpetuation of the *White Race per se*.

Consequently, our vistas must not be so circumscribed that we calculate success or failure solely according to our own situation.

A nationalist advance (ergo, a racial advance) anywhere is **our** advance, an advance at one particular point along a commonly held front, whether its geographical location be Louisiana or Darlington, Bavaria or Dewsbury.

Worldwide, the signs of emergent white solidarity grow increasingly apparent, and we in Britain are perfectly justified in acclaiming the fact.

So too, the flunkies of finance can everywhere be seen to be progressively fuddled and inept.

Of all these personages, scurrying hither and thither about the international scene, not one has thus far emerged from the chrysalis stage of mediocrity.

All their agitations — the conferences, the speeches, the cocksure references to *perestroika*, *glasnost*, 'human rights', 'disarmament', the Third World, environmental pollution; all the bureaucratic contrivances to buttress multi-racialism, the EEC and 'market forces': each and every one of these procedures has about it the momentum of muddle, indicative not of orderly progress but accelerating retreat.

However resistant to its inherent plagues, the liberal-democratic structure is cankered beyond redress, and conceivably we are already witnessing the preliminary symptoms of its demise.

We should watch it carefully.

Even in *rigor mortis*, the prattling manikin of the money power may, for a spell, present a florid countenance. But it will be the result of political embattlement, nothing more.

At the appointed moment, rest assured, we shall drag that miserable carrion into the daylight of a new epoch, and hang it high for the culture vultures to gnaw at.

'TOMORROW BELONGS TO US!'

Meantime, belief in destiny remains the inexhaustible source of our spiritual strength; and this dynamic must be assiduously nourished.

If the claim that 'tomorrow belongs to us' is to prove more than simple bravado, then it has to constitute the bedrock of everything we do. It must be the underlying, ineradicable conviction which inspires and energises all our endeavours.

Never for an instant must the certainty of final victory be doubted.

In a previous era, and in another place, a unique leader proclaimed: "I go the way that providence dictates with the assurance of a sleepwalker."

Comrades, let us do likewise!

Don't forget: London rally on October 14th!

This is to remind all readers that this year's British National Party Annual Rally will take place in London on Saturday, October 14th, in the afternoon.

In our October issue details will be given of how to get to the rally. We are giving this notice of the date, however, so that everyone may ensure to keep it free.

RODS FOR FECKLESS BACKS

NOEL A. HUNT suggests how the most irresponsible elements of society should be more firmly penalised

THE FAMILY POLICY STUDY CENTRE has recently produced an interesting report. Among other things, we learn from it that there are now 12.9 divorces per 1,000 married persons. We learn too that 20.9 per-cent of all births are outside marriage.

The report carefully avoids breaking these figures down on an 'ethnic' basis. This is of course because any such break-down would almost certainly show that a disproportionately high number of irregular unions were entered into by Afro-Caribbeans, and that the same is true of illegitimate births. There is the danger that, provided with statistical proof of what his daily observations tell him to be true, the tax-paying white worm might at last turn.

The figures go far to explain the ubiquitous 'single-parent family', so called to gloss over the fact, in the majority of cases, such a thing represents a clear admission of personal inability to cope with life in our society.

'Single-parent families' and illegitimate children mean, in a 'caring society', that these sections of the population impose a heavy burden on the taxpayer. A whole network of rights and privileges, though no corresponding duties, are available to them, including speedy and priority access to scarce housing. Many of them belong to what might be called the professional poor. That is to say that they use the services provided by the taxpayer and administered by 'carers' to do very nicely, thank you. The cost to society as a whole must be very great. There is universal agreement among the administrators that the costs shall remain veiled in decent obscurity. One does not want a tax-payers' rebellion, after all!

The law provides that divorced husbands shall pay maintenance to ex-wives and to the children of the marriage. It also provides that the fathers of illegitimate children shall support them. What is lacking is the administrative machinery to ensure that those liable to pay are tracked down and compelled to meet their responsibilities.

The result is that the taxpayer, usually married and with children of his own to support, is required to pay higher taxes to support deserted wives, illegitimate children and unmarried mothers.

ENFORCING FULFILMENT OF OBLIGATIONS

Clearly, what is required is thoroughly efficient machinery for collecting maintenance money due for child support. Any such request would of course be met by the bland explanation that the difficulties of setting up and enforcing a workable scheme are formidable. The scheme, too, would probably be opposed by the civil rights industry (for what does that industry not oppose?), by the race relations industry and by the National Association for Care and Rehabilitation of Offenders. To this list may be added professional 'carers' and the ubiquitous trendy

clerics. Many who read this roll call of those who would oppose the imposition of duties upon the feckless would need no further convincing that the suggestion has merit.

In fact, the difficulties of setting up and enforcing such a system are not as great as they will be made to appear. The first prerequisite, of course, is for government ministers to muster sufficient resolution to accept the need for such a scheme and the measures that would be needed to make it effective. Given the quality and outlook of most professional politicians, this is likely to be the most difficult part of the exercise. Perhaps the scheme would be complicated and difficult to administer, yet civil servants are supposed to exist for no other purpose than to devise and run such operations. So the next step would be to select a thoroughly competent civil servant. They are still to be found here and there.

The man chosen should be told that he has three months to come up with a workable scheme. He should be told, too, that if he does not he will forthwith be unemployed. This should ensure that a scheme is produced on time. Enforcing it should not be difficult. There are already regulations for collecting from husbands and fathers. The new scheme would essentially consist of a tightening up of these regulations.

Each of us has a national registration number. We have other numbers too, and we

all appear on all sorts of computer lists. So it is necessary that courts should be instructed that details of all orders made must be sent to the collecting authority, with an identifying number to enable the payer to be traced. If the person is employed, then his employer must be made to make deductions from his earnings and pay them to the collecting office. If he or she is unemployed, then the DHSS office which pays his or her benefits should be similarly instructed.

It should be laid down as policy that in all cases the deductions should be sufficiently large to affect significantly the payer's standard of living. Ideally, he should have to smoke or drink less, watch a black and white TV rather than a coloured one, use public transport instead of a car, and so on. It will of course be objected that society is making him pay more than he is able while maintaining his customary standard of life. This is of course rubbish; he, by his own actions, made the deductions necessary, not society at large.

PROLES

The ancient Romans divided their population into five classes. At the bottom were the 'Proles'. These were regarded as being useless to the state for any other purpose than breeding. In days when Rome had a world to conquer, and when a Roman soldier had to be a Roman citizen, the Prole had his uses.

Things have changed. In our present society the Prole is often of mixed race. All too often, he is illiterate, innumerate and virtually unemployable, even if he wished to work — which very often he does not.

Our present welfare state spawns Proles in frightening numbers. What is worse, once they are born it keeps them alive and in due course encourages them to breed more of their kind. The indigenous population eventually drowns in a sea of third-rate human beings — the fate which today awaits Britain and America.

In the meantime, common sense dictates that life should be made both expensive and uncomfortable for the parent who walks out on his or her responsibilities, particularly on the fathers of illegitimate children who come into this category. The Bible — one hesitates to quote from anything so outmoded in today's Britain — tells us that though you bray a fool in a mortar yet his foolishness remains. By the same token, one cannot by legislation make the feckless and the irresponsible prudent and far-sighted. The best that can be done is to ensure that, as the saying goes, they pay for their fun.

We would probably still have just as many Proles. But at least those who produced them would pay heavily for doing so. At the same time, the burden on the ordinary tax-payer would be lightened. It is true that our legislators would probably spend the money so saved on something as foolish as the present system and equally against the national interest; but that is another matter.

"Our present welfare state spawns proles in frightening numbers. What is worse, once they are born it keeps them alive and in due course encourages them to breed more of their kind."

EUROPE: WHO PUSHED US IN?

Capt. Kenneth McKilliam looks at the forces of international finance which have lain behind the drive to get, and keep, Britain in the Common Market

AFTER the international financiers had disposed of the British Empire and its trading preferences and the British Government been persuaded to sign the Bretton Woods Agreement, Britain handed over financial power to the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund. A meeting was then called to which were invited industrialists, academicians, politicians and bankers. The men behind the meeting were the world's richest, who control the international banking houses and the issue of international credit, and its purpose was to get their international policies accepted and put into operation. The meeting was held in the Bilderberg Hotel at Oosterbeek, in Holland, and it took place in 1954. Thus was founded the 'Bilderberg Group', and its meetings have been taking place, in conditions of great secrecy, ever since. A recent meeting held in Cessna, in Turkey, was attended by Mrs. Thatcher and Denis Healey, among other British representatives.

C. Gordon Tether, former writer of the 'Lombard' column in the *Financial Times*, gained information on the Bilderbergers and unwittingly exposed them. He then saw that he had revealed the secret of an international conspiracy. He was fired by the new Editor, M.N.H. Fischer. The *Financial Times* was owned by the Westminster Press, whose editorial director was E.J.B. (Jim) Rose. Rose produced the survey on race relations in Britain for the Institute of Race Relations. The holding company of Westminster Press was S. Pearson & Co., which has interlocking links with the international merchant bankers Lazard Freres. C. Gordon Tether was subjected to professional persecution (Read *The Banned Articles of C. Gordon Tether*, published by Good Heart Press, Bicester).

This power behind the scenes was also exposed by Dr. Carroll Quigley in his book *Tragedy and Hope* (published by Macmillans of New York). This book was hurriedly withdrawn from circulation but not before many copies had been sold. On the instructions of the financial powers, Quigley was also subjected to professional persecution and turned out of his university post. This book was reviewed by W. Cleon Skousen, ex-FBI agent, university professor and editorial director of the leading American police magazine *Law and Order*. The title of Skousen's book in which this review was given was *The Naked Capitalist*.

These leading controllers of international finance are the Rothschilds, the Rockefellers, Kuhn Loeb, the Aschbergs, Lazard Freres, Schiffs, Erlingers, Schroeders, Speyers, Foulds, Mirabauds, Morgans, *et al.* How did they get their money? By *usury* — lending out what was purely book money at a high rate of interest on gold said to be in their vaults. Their accounts are never audited. They use money to make more money and are international

parasites.

SOME TESTIMONIES

Benjamin Disraeli wrote in *Coningsby*: "The world is governed by very different personages from what is imagined by those who are not behind the scenes." The Jewish banker Rathenau wrote in *Wiener Frei Presse* (December 24th 1912): "Three hundred men, each of whom knows all the others, governs the fate of the European continent, and they elect their successors from their entourage." Jean Izoulet, a member of the Jewish alliance, *Israelite Universelle*, wrote in *Paris: la Capitale des Religions* (1932): "The meaning of the history of the last century is that today 300 Jewish financiers, all masters of lodges, rule the world."

Arthur Kitson wrote in *The Bankers' Conspiracy* (1933): "Money is not international, and the attempt to make it so is part of a deeply laid plot on the part of certain international financiers to control the world politically, industrially and financially by means of the Bank of International Settlements at Basle."

Reginald McKenna, Chairman of Midland Bank in 1924, told the stockholders of the bank: "I am afraid that the ordinary citizen will not like to be told that the banks can and do create money...and they who control the credit of the nation direct the policy of the Government and hold in the hollow of their hand the destiny of the people."

Nathan Rothschild, founder of the London Branch of the House of Rothschild and the most powerful man in Britain of his time, stated: "Give me the right to issue and control the currency of the nation and I care not who makes its laws."

Sir Josiah Stamp, Governor of the Bank of England in 1930, wrote: "Banking was conceived in iniquity and born in sin...Bankers

own the earth. Take it away from them but leave them the power to create money and, with a flick of a pen, they will create enough money to buy it back again...If you want to be the slaves of the bankers (and pay the cost of your own slavery) then let the bankers continue to create money and control the currency."

In the *Sunday Dispatch* (16th August 1935) there appeared: "The power of the world is in the hands, not of governments, nor of armies, but of financiers." This is still the case today — hence the fear of nationalist parties, such as the British National Party, which knows all about the international bankers, and supports nationalism as against the internationalism the cosmopolitan financiers are striving to impose on us by their power over the media, advertising, the main political parties and the pseudo-educationalists who have been brainwashing the British public for so long.

THE AIMS OF THE BANKERS

These international bankers financed the Russian Revolution in 1917. They also financed both sides in World War I, and ensured that the outcome both of this war and World War II satisfied their ambitions for complete control of the world. Today they are the main power pressurising us to become yet further integrated into the European Common Market. Notice how millions of pounds were spent in propaganda to persuade the British people to support the Market, whereas only tiny financial resources were available to those who wished to persuade the nation not to go into the EEC. The press, TV and the main political parties all parrot the catch-phrases put into their mouths by slick propagandists paid by the Money Power — just as did the sheep in Orwell's *Animal Farm*.

Another institution set up by the international financiers is the Council for Foreign Relations (CFR), based in the United States. This became known as the 'Eastern Establishment', and it has over the years exerted immense pressure to internationalise the policies of the US Government. The British equivalent of the CFR is the Royal Institute for International Affairs (sometimes known as Chatham House), and this body has worked ceaselessly to undermine British national sovereignty and force the nation, firstly into a federation of Europe, and then later into subservience to a world government — the next intended step after regional super-governments on the European model have been established.

In connection with the RIIA, we should note the deceptive use of the word 'Royal' to bamboozle the people into presuming that the aims of the Institute have the sanction of the Monarch, whereas in fact its policies, if



EDWARD HEATH

The Premier who signed the Treaty of Rome, he was the primary architect of Britain's subsequent disasters. Heath as a politician was 'made' by the international bankers

Contd. overleaf

EUROPE: WHO PUSHED US IN?

(Contd. from prev. page)

followed to their intended conclusion, would require the breaking of HM The Queen's coronation oath.

In the future we can expect the development of a European police force and a European army, with the result that, while our own troops are manning the eastern borders of the Federal German Republic, our own shores may well be guarded by troops from Turkey!

In Britain, Edward Heath has played a major role in political developments integrating this country ever more deeply with the EEC, and he was of course the Premier who signed the Treaty of Rome which took us into the Community in 1973. Heath was (and for all I know still is) an honorary president of the Royal Institute for International Affairs. He is also a director of Brown, Shipley & Co., London Branch of a powerful American-based international financial group. Heath wrote some years ago: "A decision not to join (the EEC) would be a reversal of the whole direction of British policy under successive governments during the past decade." And he told a Conservative women's conference in May 1971: "If we enter the Common Market we shall achieve, by other means, what Napoleon and Hitler failed to achieve." Once a European super-government is firmly established, the British people will entirely have lost the freedom for which they have fought over many centuries.

The Royal Institute for International Affairs, the Council for Foreign Relations, Political and Economic Planning (set up by Israel Moses Sieff), International Communism, International Socialism and Political Zionism — all are interlocking organisations for the destruction of the British people and the white nations in general and the gradual absorption of all of them into the international scheme for world government.

Professor Arnold Toynbee wrote in the RIIA journal *International Affairs* (November 1931): "In the world today this institute can hardly be a universal church. It is more likely to be a league of nations. I will not prophecy: I will merely repeat that we are, at present working discreetly, but with all our might, to wrest this mysterious force called sovereignty out of the clutches of the local national states of the world, and all the time we are denying with our lips what we are doing with our hands." (my emphasis). In other words, you, the citizens of this nation, are being lied to and deceived by those who have sold their souls to a foreign power, so that the chains of slavery can be placed upon you forever.

The ruling powers of both the eastern and western worlds are now hard at work using the fools they have caused to be indoctrinated to destroy forever the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic peoples — for these peoples, if only they could act in unity, would be perhaps the only force strong enough to challenge the power of the international financiers. We have seen how governments carry out the will of those who control finance. We have the continual immigration of people from Africa, Asia and

the West Indies (10,000 have recently been let in from Morocco, of all places), and despite Conservative election pledges, we now have the beginning of a further invasion of people from South East Asia, including vast numbers from Hong Kong. If these invasions are not stopped and reversed, the doom of the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic peoples, through racial interbreeding, is certain.

THE NATIONAL DEBT

Britain's National Debt stood, at the end of 1988, at the colossal figure of **£188 billion**. This debt is not, as many people suppose, merely a legitimate obligation owed by the nation to those who have lent it money to finance its past wars; it is an almost wholly illegitimate liability, based as it is on 'loans' made to past governments by international bankers by means of the mere stroke of a pen: money created out of nothing by book entry. Yet the British people are required, yearly, to shoulder an immense tax burden merely to pay interest on this 'debt'. The United States is in much the same situation. Both countries are, in effect, in thrall to the international bankers by means of this debt system.

Dr. Carroll Quigley has described the purpose of the system as being "nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands, able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole... The apex of this system was to be the Bank for International Settlements in Basle and a private bank owned by the world's central banks, which were themselves private corporations."

These banks dominate governments by their ability to control treasury loans, to manipulate foreign exchange, to influence the level of economic activity by subsequent rewards in the business world. Their financial stranglehold on the world allows them to influence and manipulate the affairs of various nations to suit their own purposes, and, where they deem it necessary, to instigate wars and revolutions.

This world hierarchy of the super-rich is out to take over control of the entire planet, doing it with socialistic legislation where expedient — for we should never be deluded into thinking that socialist governments (or even communist ones) are a barrier against the system. A government may appear to be 'socialist' or 'communist' by controlling its country's internal economic activity; but unless its trade and commerce are freed from the grip of the international bankers it is as

much under their control as its counterparts in the capitalist world. In fact, at the highest level international socialism and international finance-capitalism meet in a common apex. It is not socialism or communism, but **nationalism**, that is needed to liberate nations from the grip of the system.

HIGH TREASON

The Queen was 'deceived in her grant' in 1973 when the full powers were granted in order to accomplish the unlawful act of signing the Treaty of Rome. A whole heritage was handed away on the 22nd January of that year when the Treaty was signed.

There is no more solemn commitment in our nation's affairs of state than that of the Coronation Oath Act of 1688; the entire world was witness to what took place on June 2nd 1953. The Queen, in return for our allegiance, made a covenant promise to govern us for her entire reign according to 'Laws and Customs of this Realm'. In no way can these words be stretched to include laws concocted and designed by the representatives of ten or more foreign countries. And there are at least seven other statutes in force that declare against the giving away of the sovereignty of this country. Edward Heath was one of those who flouted the laws of the realm in the interests of his alien banking masters, notably the Rothschilds, for which service he was amply rewarded. He ignored the laws of the realm as if they did not exist. Worse still, he had no care for the conflict of conscience and duty that he caused our Monarch to face.

For ourselves, we should owe loyalty to Britain alone, and we should not be bound by any laws made outside this realm, particularly when they conflict with our ancient Constitution. And no Briton need feel any guilt whatsoever concerning our future withdrawal from the European Economic Community.

What must be done

- (1) **Britain must secede from the European Common Market.**
- (b) **The power of creating all money in use must be taken out of the hands of the banks and restored to government.**
- (c) **We must work for the reorganisation of the white section of the British Commonwealth so as to create an economic bloc strong enough to resist the machinations of international finance.**

WORKERS IN THE LONG GRASS
The first of the highly secretive Bilderberg meetings, Oosterbeek 1954



ANOTHER LOOK AT 'GLASNOST'

IVOR BENSON searches behind the changes in Soviet affairs and in east-west relations

This article was first printed in the April 1989 issue of Behind the News, obtainable from PO Box 29, Sudbury, Suffolk CO10 6EF.

THE unfolding media picture of *glasnost* and *perestroika* — openness and reconstruction — and the consequences thereof all over the world could hardly be more contradictory and confusing.

President Mikhail Gorbachev's visit to Britain was conducted in an atmosphere of goodwill and mutual helpfulness never before achieved by a visiting Soviet leader. The Queen has accepted an invitation to visit the Soviet Union, evidently with the full approval of the Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher, and Mr. Gorbachev has scored an immense propaganda triumph at home, where his personal position had come under challenge from others clamouring for faster reform.


The Soviet Union, wholly out of character, has urged its Marxist-oriented protegee the African National Congress (ANC) to desist from the use of violence in its struggle against white rule in South Africa, and has joined amicably in helping the United Nations to prepare South West Africa ('Namibia', as it is now called) for "free and fair" elections. At the same time comes the news that there have been *pourparlers* between representatives of the South African and Soviet governments with a view to restoring diplomatic relations, which were severed abruptly by the South Africans shortly after the end of World War II.

Why not, some might ask, when representatives of South Africa's biggest financial and mining conglomerate, Anglo-American, have always worked in the closest co-operation with the Soviet authorities over the marketing of diamonds and gold?

There has been a most extraordinary change in the political climate everywhere, nowhere more so than inside the Soviet Union. The headlines speak for themselves: "Poll humiliation for Communist Party old guard — local political chiefs thrashed by pro-reform candidates." It had become clear that the Soviet Union's Communist Party government had suffered a staggering humiliation in the general election on March 26th. Most devastating was the voting in the Baltic States, where virtually all candidates standing for continued ties with Moscow were defeated.

If this change had occurred only in the Soviet Union, it might have been attributed wholly to a change of policy initiated by Mr. Gorbachev; but a change has been manifested simultaneously all over the so-called communist world, nowhere more conspicuously than in Hungary, a country which has long been under the most draconian Communist Party rule.

With so much goodwill in the air and so many kind things being said about the Kremlin



THATCHER AND GORBACHEV IN LONDON

Do meetings like these signal the entry of the Soviet Union into the 'consumer society', ruled by money and mass deception, rather than by terror?

leadership, one would imagine that the time has come when we can all begin to think about bringing to an end that antagonism between the two worlds which has required enormous defence spending on both sides.

We are left in no doubt, however, that such a time has not arrived. When it comes to a discussion of armaments and defence, it would seem that there has been no change whatever.

Writes the *Daily Telegraph* (April 3rd): "Sir Geoffrey Howe, Foreign Secretary, will today denounce President Gorbachev's arms control policy, describing it as an attempt to 'beguile public opinion in the West.' The Foreign Secretary insists that the 'heavily armed Russian bear remains a threat to European security.'"

'THIS AGE OF CONFLICT'

An interpretation of history which will absorb and explain all these contradictions cannot be easily communicated, but must begin with this statement: 'capitalism' and 'communism' are not, and never were, deadly opposites; they are two aspects of a single global phenomenon — **money politics**. It was not just one of the 'mistakes' of western capitalism that it financed and master-minded the Bolshevik Revolution and subsequently built the Soviet Union into a major industrial and military power.

Unless that fact is clearly understood, there is no point in even trying to explain what is now happening and what to expect.

We offer the following explanation: Human

beings can be controlled, singly or in the mass, in two ways: (1) By **compulsion**, in which use is made of instruments of coercion and fear; (2) By gaining the **consent** of those to be controlled or directed.

Communism has achieved in each of the communist states what only compulsion could have accomplished, namely an overthrow of the existing order. The method of compulsion, however, would have been impossible without some degree of consent and co-operation; this was supplied by the educated class in all the countries where communism prevailed.

The great problem in all the communist countries has been that of trying to retain the consent and support of the educated class. Actual experience of the socialist experiment has tended to rob the communist regimes of the consent and support of an educated class. In the Soviet Union, in particular, there has come into existence an awakened and vitalised educated class, an intelligentsia that has freed itself from idealistic delusions. In the West, it is only a few individual intellectuals who have been able to liberate their minds in this way.

'THE MARKET MECHANISM'

So, what went wrong in the communist world? There was something in the system of state terror that was bound to produce the results we have seen among the intellectuals. However hurtful and evil, state terror was at least 'honest' — honest in the sense that it required no deception and concealment. A social and political order was created which

Contd. overleaf

ANOTHER LOOK AT 'GLASNOST'

(Contd. from prev. page)

the people hated and feared but which they could at least understand. And it was this understanding which drained away all educated consent and support, for no system of sustained belief can be attached to a continuous reliance on state terror.

Meanwhile, in the West an **illegitimate power** that dominates all politics had discovered a method of **population control** which almost wholly dispenses with the need for compulsion and terror, a non-authoritarian and non-violent way of disarming and paralysing a society's natural political instinct.

So, what is it in the West which, more than anything else, has had the effect of paralysing the political instincts of entire populations, rendering them deaf and blind to appeals and warnings from any natural leader who finds out what is happening to his people?

Another fact of major importance that needs to be remembered if any 'explanation' is to make sense: money politics **needs** a much

publicised **antagonism** of the two worlds if control of the population masses on both sides of that 'Iron Curtain' is to be maintained. George Orwell has explained how this principle of population control works, in his novel *Nineteen Eighty-Four*.

FURTHER CONCENTRATION OF FINANCIAL POWER

What it all comes to is that we have a communist 'super-power' in the world today **only** by favour of the capitalist world and that the most important purpose served by all that expenditure on arms in the West was to assist in the process of concentrating financial power in **fewer and fewer** hands.

Be that as it may, what we now need to know is the meaning of *glasnost* and *perestroika* as signals of the beginning of the end of rule by terror in the communist world — even in communist China. Those **promoting** this phenomenon must surely know what they are doing.

The answer in one word: **Consumerism**. It is a method of population control that just happens to have become available in the industrialised countries of the West.

Consumerism was, of course, not invented for that purpose, but its possibilities were recognised by the power-wielders of high finance, just as earlier in the century the same power-wielders instantly recognised what use they could make of Marxist socialism, an 'anti-capitalist' ideology.

Complementary to consumerism, which excites and systematically exploits the individual's natural acquisitive instinct, rendering him oblivious to all other considerations, is a parliamentary system with its furiously warring parties all joined, as it were, to one and the same financial vascular and pulmonary system; all working and breathing **in unison** with the prevailing money power.

Glasnost and *perestroika* in the communist world signalise the first stage of a **transition** from policies of force and terror to policies of consumerism and spurious 'democracy'.

There is probably nothing we can do as individuals to alter the course and progress of this tidal wave in human affairs, but seeing and understanding what is happening we shall at least know what we are doing if we get involved, and we shall have a better chance of preserving our psychic health and our sense of the worthwhileness of our existence.

Invasion of Australia

IMMIGRATION: A POLICY OF PERFDY
(Dr. J.C.A. Dique). Obtainable from 18
Crowther Street, Windsor, Queensland 4030.

AUSTRALIA is in an identical position to Britain in respect of the threat to her national survival from a flood of non-white immigrants now pouring across her borders. The similarity is compounded by the fact that Australia's political leaders, like our own, are firmly in the grip of the forces of treason, and as such are doing everything they can to encourage the influx, while attempting to use state suppression against those in the country who would speak out against their policy. If Australia's situation differs somewhat from our own, it is in the fact that the country is enormous and underpopulated, thus giving those promoting this alien invasion a spurious pretext by means of the argument that the country needs more people and must find them from among the teeming millions of the Third World. That the argument is nonsense can be seen by the fact that people from the British Isles, Australia's traditional source of immigrants, are now finding obstructions placed in the way of their getting into Australia, due to the policy of recent governments of giving actual **preference** to Third World migrants.

Dr. John Dique is one of the voices in Australia that have been raised against this act of treason. For some years he has produced an informative little newsletter, *News and Views: Queensland*, that has consistently opposed multi-racialism and supported the retention of Australia's European (mainly British) identity. Now he has put his views into a booklet, *Immigration: a Policy of Perfidy*, which should make very interesting reading for those of us this side of the world who are interested in the same problems.

Dr. Dique has kindly sent us a copy of his

IMMIGRATION-
A POLICY OF PERFDY



The new
booklet

booklet, though without any indication of its price. This, however, can be ascertained no doubt by writing to the address given above.


One of the very interesting parts of Dr. Dique's booklet is a section where he gives a detailed breakdown of the ethnic composition of the Australian population. From this it can be seen that 12,046,720 Australians in 1986 were descended from the peoples of the British Isles, making a portion of 75.41 per-cent. Other North Western Europeans comprised 1,173,530 (7.35 per-cent), East Europeans 634,430 (3.97 per-cent), South Europeans 1,169,890 (7.32 per-cent) and non-Europeans of all kinds (excluding Aborigines) 783,140 (4.91 per-cent). This somewhat knocks on the head the argument that Australia no longer has any rational basis for its maintenance of its British connection. The British portion of the populace would of course be increased if there was to be a wholesale and obligatory repatriation of all non-European population groups, the resulting portion in that case being 79.3 per-

cent. It is also probable that a great many non-British European families would like to return to their ancestral homelands if conditions there were favourable for them to do so — in particular the country's 634,430 East Europeans, most of whom are refugees from communism. Such a development would further increase the percentage of Australians of British descent.

What of course has accounted in recent years for fundamental changes of attitude on the part of many Australians towards Britain has been, not so much the ethnic changes in Australia, as the fact that the country has, as stated, been afflicted with treasonable governments which, like our own, have taken their political cues, not from their electorates, but from those unseen powers operating on a world stage whose purpose it is to obliterate all the traditional nation-states and their former alignments. Foremost of these creatures in Australia today is present Prime Minister Bob Hawke, who is one of the leading architects of Australia's current multi-racialist policy.

All these agents of betrayal and sell-out come under close scrutiny in Dr. Dique's intriguing booklet. Not the least among the objects of the author's exposure are the Japanese who, with the active encouragement of Hawke & Co., are now buying up large slices of the country — while its Prime Minister has the nerve to proclaim to his compatriots and to the world that he is an 'Australian Nationalist'!

Readers in the UK are thoroughly recommended to obtain this little book, which is a mine of valuable information and which tells us in no uncertain terms that we are not either alone or unique in our struggle to preserve the identity of our country and people. We fight a disease that is **global**, and which, in its strategy for the obliteration of all ethnic groups, puts that of the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic peoples of the British Commonwealth and United States at the top of the list of priorities.



SIR: I appreciate that you have closed the correspondence on Tony Wells' series of article on race and genetics, but I must request a correction of what he has said concerning my article on the subject in the May issue. He claims that I have described as 'Frankenstein Monsters' the finest specimens of our race; this is inaccurate; I used that term to describe those products of genetic engineering which might go otherwise than how their designers intended. This is quite a difference!

On another subject, I was recently listening to a very interesting broadcast called 'Open Mind' (Radio 4). It featured a recorded discussion held in Tokyo between BBC interviewers and some Japanese.

One of these Japanese, a woman, confirmed in her own unprompted words precisely what you have often said in *Spearhead* about Japanese industry, namely that for a long time Japan had protected her home market against foreign imports and that, but for that, Britain 20 years ago could have sold Japan huge numbers of British cars, especially Minis. It was that practice of protection of Japanese industry against foreign manufactured imports, she said, that had enabled her country to build up enormous industrial strength. Now, she said, Japan was considering liberalising her trading policies and opening up her markets to imports. Having gained that strength — at our expense — she can of course afford to do so (*my own comment*).

It is useful to glean such tips straight from the horse's mouth!

Surely, the failure of Britain to take a leaf out of Japan's book could only indicate the planned run-down of our own manufacturing base.

R.D. MOLESWORTH
Cheltenham, Glos.

SIR: I would like to relate a recent experience to you with regard to an assault I suffered during my lunch break in Brockley, S.E. London, on the 3rd April this year.

The assailant was a coloured man. I managed to reach the police station within 15 minutes of the assault and the man responsible was quickly arrested, though not without much resistance on his part.

The police proceeded with the prosecution and charged the man with Actual Bodily Harm. He was detained overnight at the station and appeared in court the next day. It transpires that the court lowered the charge to two counts of 'Common Assault', and released

the man without seeing the photographic evidence of my head injury — this being against the advice of the police and prosecuting counsel. Indeed, they insisted that the court should reinstate the original ABH charge.

I attended Tower Bridge Magistrates Court on Friday, the 2nd June, only for the case to be adjourned until the 8th August. In the meantime this man has now been released again until then, possibly to cause more suffering to innocent people in the street!

I also understand that this man was on bail prior to his attack on me and has a history of mental illness.

Yet again, it proves the contempt the law has for the victim and that it bends over backwards to be lenient to the perpetrator of the crime.

Add to this the waste of time and expense to the taxpayer. In my case there were three police officers present — plus the police prosecution barrister. There was also my own expenses for attending court. I hope you agree that this does not make any sense whatsoever, particularly when the Conservatives make so much in their manifesto of law and order.

According to the prosecution barrister and the police present, these sorts of adjournments are all too common. They appealed to me to write to the Crown Prosecution Service and complain, which I am now doing.

C.H. NEIL
Sittingbourne, Kent

SIR: The media here in Britain have been wailing away about the 'moral responsibilities' we British should bear for the Hong Kong Chinese. I suggest the real reason is far different.

Recently, world Jewish leaders have been having serious talks with Mikhail Gorbachev about the Jews in the Soviet Union. Gorbachev promises to release millions of these Jews so that they can go to the West or to Israel. Obviously, many of these will be coming to Britain and the United States.

Jews who go to the US as political 'refugees' are given a cash sum of over 5,000 dollars to help them settle in. This is money out of the American taxpayers' pockets. It is also probable that British social security offices will give a lump sum to any Jewish 'refugees' should they come here, this also being out of taxpayers' pockets.

My guess is that any legislation that will permit Hong Kong Chinese to obtain residence in Britain will be worded in such a way as to permit Jews entry at the same time. Want to bet? Mark my words, and wait and see!

H. MULLIN
Glasgow

SIR: After reading your article 'The changing role of the public meeting', I had to write and agree on what was stated.

I think that public meetings are certainly at a 'low' at the moment, but a large audience at a rally, properly motivated, is one of the most awe-inspiring sights, and can rouse tremendous feelings. I feel that a certain amount of 'pomp and ceremony' is badly needed — more banners, music; etc.

Though you said that the public meeting will never be the same again as in the 1920s and 1930s, I think it's fair to say that the more you put into something the more you get out of it. If we all make the effort together, the 1990s could see a major comeback for the public meeting. It's up to us!

T. MILLS
Norwich

SIR: Mr. Richard Ingrams, writing in *The Observer* (August 6th) said that "one overlooked aspect of Mrs. Thatcher's (Cabinet) reshuffle was the apparent demotion in the Foreign Office pecking order of Mr. William Waldegrave..."

Mr. Ingrams went on to say: "I wouldn't be surprised if this little snub had something to do with Mr. Waldegrave's outspoken comments on the state of Israel."

J.C. WOODS
Nelson, Lancs.

How to obtain SPEARHEAD

Spearhead is available from our office to those who wish to ensure to obtain copies for themselves every month and to those who wish to obtain quantities for distribution. Those wishing for copies for themselves each month are recommended to take out a subscription by filling in the form below and sending it to us with a cheque or postal order for the applicable sum.

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick in box where applicable):-


British Isles: £10.00 ☐ Overseas unsealed surface mail: £11.66 ☐ Overseas sealed surface mail: £14.20 ☐ Unsealed air mail Middle East: £15.28 ☐ Unsealed air mail Canada, USA, Latin America, Africa: £16.40 ☐ Unsealed air mail Australia, New Zealand, Far East: £18.38 ☐

Please note: These additional rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling; if remittance is in overseas currency, an overseas charge of £5.50 is required in the case of cheques and money orders and £2 in the case of banknotes, these going to pay banker's commission and charges.

Discounts for bulk-purchases can be obtained as follows:-

10-19 copies: 48p each; 20-49 copies: 43p each; 50-99 copies: 36p each; 100-199 copies: 31p each; 200-499 copies: 26p each; 500 copies or over: 24p each (For advice on postal rates, please contact our office).

All cheques and postal or money orders should be made out to *Spearhead* and sent to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please do not include a payment for *Spearhead* as part of a remittance including payment for other items.



THE ELEVENTH HOUR (John Tyndall) £15 hardback; £10 paperback. Mostly written by the BNP leader in prison, this is the book all nationalists have been waiting for. The most comprehensive presentation yet to appear of the case for British Nationalism. 1988, 609pp.

THE NEW UNHAPPY LORDS (A.K. Chesterton) £3.00. An exposure of the financial power that seeks to dragoon mankind into a global police state. 1972, 255pp.

THE ZIONIST FACTOR* (Ivor Benson) £6.50. A study of the Jewish presence in 20th century history. 1986, 216pp.

THE BIOLOGY OF THE RACE PROBLEM (Prof. W.C. George) £1.00. The race equality hoax destroyed by an academic expert. 70pp.

DID SIX MILLION REALLY DIE? (Richard Harwood) 50p. The fact-filled pamphlet that refutes the holocaust legends and has evoked Zionist frenzy. 28pp.

THE HOAX OF THE TWENTIETH CENTURY (Prof. A.R. Butz) £2.40. A scientist applies clinical methods to an investigation of the 'holocaust' and completely dissects the myth. 2nd edition 1977, 315pp.

THE MONEY BOMB (James Gibb Stewart) £4.25. Discusses the failure of our debt-based financial system and describes its role in creating inflation. 1983, 158pp.

THE USES OF RELIGION (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £1.00. Examines the value of religion as a socially cohesive force. 1982, 36pp.

RACE AND POLITICS (H.B. Isherwood) 50p. Shows that a sense of racial identity is an essential element of nationhood. 1974, 36pp.

RELIGION AND THE RACIAL CONTROVERSY (H.B. Isherwood) 50p. Shows that racial integration is not ordained by Christianity but that the reverse is true. 1970, 16pp.

THE LEMMING FOLK (James Gibb Stewart) £7.95. A witty and factual examination of current attitudes and intellectual fashion that are threatening our future. 1980, 246pp.

THE GRAND DESIGN (Douglas Reed) £2.25. A behind-the-scenes look at modern world history. 1977, 45pp.

THE DISPOSSESSED MAJORITY (Wilmot Robertson) £7.50. This book has attracted worldwide attention, dealing as it does with racial problems in America — and not just simply the black-white situation. 2nd edition 1981, 613pp.

RACE AND REASON (Carlton Putnam) £4.00. A plain, unemotional account of the race issue that has been an invaluable contribution to the debate ever since it was first published. 1961 (rep. 1977), 125pp.

RACE AND REALITY (Carlton Putnam) £4.00. A companion volume and supplement to *Race and Reason*, showing how the truth about the race issue has been suppressed by interested parties. 1967 (rep. 1977), 192pp.

VENTILATIONS (Wilmot Robertson) £4.50. The sequel to the same author's widely acclaimed *The Dispossessed Majority*. Revised edition 1982, 113pp.

THE GLOBAL MANIPULATORS (Robert Eringer) £2.50. While the author repudiates any conspiratorial view of world events, he still provides a comprehensive exposure of the organisation and influence of the Bilderberg Group and the Trilateral Commission. 1980, 95pp.

PEACE STUDIES IN OUR SCHOOLS: PROPAGANDA FOR DEFENCELESSNESS (John Marks) £2.95. An important book on a major current educational scandal: the indoctrination of schoolchildren by pacifist and unilateralist 'educationalists'. This topical and factual study makes it plain that we are not simply confronted with odd teachers here and there abusing their positions but with a whole network of organisations and institutions, some publicly funded, co-ordinating their attack on young people's minds. 1984, 63pp.

THE ZIONIST CONNECTION (Alfred M. Lilienthal) £13.00. The second edition of a sensational exposure by a non-Zionist Jew of Zionist power politics. 1982, 904pp.

TREASON AT WESTMINSTER (Dr. Kitty Little) 50p. Text of a memorandum to the Royal Commission on Criminal Procedure entitled: *Infiltration of the government by members of subversive or criminal organisations for the purpose of furthering the interests of those organisations*. 1979, 24pp.

A WORLD COUP D'ETAT IS PLANNED (Dr. Kitty Little) 50p. Updates the above, giving details of the destructive effects of treaties foisted on Britain. 1984,

Here is the latest list of our books. The prices given in each case do not include postal charges, which should be estimated by calculating one eighth the total value of the order. Money should be enclosed with all orders, and all cheques or postal orders should be made out to the BNP Book Service only and not included in remittances sent for other items. Orders are likely to be dealt with more quickly if BNP Book Service is marked on the envelope. New titles are indicated by asterisks.

66p.

THEY DARE TO SPEAK OUT* (Paul Findley) £12.95. The author was an Illinois Congressman for 20 years until he fell foul of the Israeli lobby. This book describes the stranglehold of Zionist power over American politicians, academics and media. Even those familiar with the subject will find this book a revelation. 1985, 362pp.

THE ZIONIST TERROR NETWORK. £2.00. A 12-page report on the murderous activities in the United States of the Jewish Defence League, compiled by the staff of the Institute for Historical Review.

RACE (J.R. Baker) £7.60. The author, a professional zoologist for 50 years, provides an objective and scholarly account of what race means and how the concept has affected human thinking. A specialist book, but one of great importance. 1974, 625pp.

THE LEUCHTER REPORT* (Fred A. Leuchter) £11.50. The evidence on 'gas chambers' by an American engineer that rocked the Zundel trial. Leuchter, in effect, testified that such installations could not have existed in German-occupied Europe before or during 1939-45. 1988, 33pp.

SPECTRE OF POWER* (Malcolm Ross) £6.00. The campaigning Canadian schoolteacher describes the vendetta against him by a powerful minority. 1987, 165pp.

VICTIM OF THE HOLOCAUST* (Hans Peter Rullman) £3.50. The story of the framing of John Demjanjuk. 1987, 78pp.

THE COLLAPSE OF BRITISH POWER (Correlli Barnett) £9.95. A welcome reissue of a vitally important book first published in 1972. Shows how liberalism and lack of political realism brought about Britain's 20th century decline in the political, industrial and military fields. 643pp.

THE ZUNDEL TRIAL AND FREE SPEECH (Doug Christie) £2.25. The defence counsel's address to the jury in the infamous trial in Canada of Ernst Zundel for daring to question the holocaust myth. A ringing defence of free enquiry and free speech. 1985, 32pp.

THE BEST OF ATTACK AND NATIONAL VANGUARD. £12.00. A selection of hard-hitting nationalist articles published between 1970 and 1982 in two uncompromising US magazines. 217 large pages.

STATE SECRETS (Count Leon de Poncins) £3.50. A study of some little-known state documents that throw much light on recent history. 1975, 191pp.

CENSORED HISTORY (Eric Butler) £1.50. An examination of some of the facts of recent history that have not found their way into textbooks or newspapers. 1974, 48pp.

THE CONTROVERSY OF ZION (Douglas Reed) £9.00. A best-seller in nationalist circles ever since its publication. A study of Jewish-Gentile relations since biblical times, packed with little-known and long-suppressed facts. 1979, 580pp.

THE NAMELESS WAR (Capt. A.H.M. Ramsay) £3.25. An outline of the secret history of the events leading up to the Second World War, including information on previous upheavals. The author had the honour of being imprisoned without trial for four years during World War II, despite his status as a member of parliament. Originally published 1952, 128pp.

THE SIX MILLION RECONSIDERED (W. Grimstad) £2.50. Examines not only the 'holocaust' but other topics involved in the Jewish Question, including the exploitation of the 'anti-semitic' smear. 1977, 170pp.

RACIAL KINSHIP (H.B. Isherwood) 50p. A further well argued presentation of the case for 'racism' by the author of *Race and Politics*. 1974, 36pp.

CONSPIRACY OR DEGENERACY? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. Text of a lecture by the author, a brilliant classical scholar, to New England rally for God, Family and Country in 1966. 76pp.

AMERICA'S DECLINE: THE EDUCATION OF A CONSERVATIVE (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £3.50. A collection of articles and reviews on various aspects of the collapse of modern civilisation. 1981, 375pp.

RED PATTERN OF WORLD CONQUEST* (Eric Butler) £3.75. A distinguished Australian patriot shows that the advance of communism has been assisted by the weakness and treachery of western leaders. New ed. 1985, 115pp.

BEHIND THE SCENE* (Douglas Reed) £3.50. A reprint of the second part of the author's *Far and Wide*, published in 1953. The new themes are titled 'Zionism Paramount' and 'Communism Penetrant'. 93pp.

THOUGHT CRIMES: THE KEEGSTRA CASE* (Doug Christie) £2.40. The text of Doug Christie's courtroom defence of the persecuted Canadian schoolteacher Jim Keegstra. 1986, 34pp.

POPULISM AND ELITISM (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. A study of the purpose and function of political power and the elements which wield it in the present century. 1982, 101pp.

THE ENEMY OF EUROPE (Francis Parker Yockey & Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £6.00. Thought-provoking essay on the powers set on destroying the European peoples, written by Yockey and accompanied by a review of Yockey's work by Prof. Oliver. 1981, 240pp.

I.Q. AND RACIAL DIFFERENCES (Prof. Henry Garrett) £1.00. Clear and concise summary of the evidence of racial differences in intelligence and their significance in education. 1980, 57pp.

THE UNHOLY ALLIANCE (Patrick Walsh) £2.25. A former Canadian undercover police officer exposes the dirty tricks employed by Zionists and Communists to misdirect and destroy patriotic groups and to suppress free enquiry. 1986, 34pp.

IS THERE INTELLIGENT LIFE ON EARTH? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. An entertaining survey of modern fallacies and their charlatan promoters. 1983, 94pp.

THE GREAT HOLOCAUST TRIAL (Michael A. Hoffmann II) £4.00. Extremely comprehensive and well written report on the infamous trial of Ernst Zundel in Canada, also covered in *The Zundel Trial and Free Speech*. 1985, 95pp.

OUT! U.K. IN E.E.C. SPELLS DISASTER (Oliver Smedley) £5.00. The political and economic consequences of Britain's membership of the Common Market. 1986, 79pp.

BOUND TO FAIL (Sundry authors) £2.00. A series of articles by various experts covering every aspect of Britain's disastrous membership of the EEC. 1987, 62pp.

TRUTH OUT OF AFRICA (Ivor Benson) £3.60. Developments in Africa, particularly 'Zimbabwe', since 1960, set against a background of the forces at work in the world as a whole. 2nd ed. 1984, 112pp.

TRADITIONALISTS' ANTHOLOGY (Compiled by Elizabeth Lady Freeman) £9.00. A fascinating collection of quotations from a wide variety of writers, many of which are of direct relevance to the nationalist cause. 1986, 185pp.

CHURCHILL'S WAR (David Irving) £16.95. The highly controversial story of Britain's World War II leader during the late pre-war and wartime years. This book was shunned by all the 'establishment' publishers on account of its startling disclosures. Churchill-worshippers and believers in the 'orthodox' version of modern history will not like this book, as it describes its central character as the man who ruined Britain and the British Empire in his insane vendetta against Hitler. 1987, 591pp.

GAY LESSONS (Rachel Tingle) £2.50. A topical exposure of the manner in which homosexuality is encouraged among young people by official bodies at public expense. 1986, 48pp.

THE MURDER OF BRITISH INDUSTRY (John Boyd) 75p. An exposure of the catastrophic economic and industrial effects of Britain's membership of the EEC. 1987, 16pp.

THIS AGE OF CONFLICT (Ivor Benson) £2.00. An exposure of the illegitimate power-structures that exist in today's world. 1987, 61pp.

THE ELITE (Barbara Cole) £8.75. The exciting story of the Rhodesian Special Air Service and its campaign against terrorism. 1984, 449pp.

THE BARNES TRILOGY (Harry Elmer Barnes) £2.75. A combined volume containing this famous

American revisionist's pamphlets: *Court Historians versus Revisionism*; *Blasting the Historical Blackout*; and *Revisionism and Brainwashing*. These first appeared in 1952, 1963 and 1963 respectively, and this combined edition is dated 1979, with 133pp.

IS THE DIARY OF ANNE FRANK GENUINE? (Robert Faurisson) £2.75. Reprinted from *The Journal of Historical Review*, this is a critical commentary on an emotive historical document. 1982, 62pp.

PUBLICITY MATERIAL

FROM B.N.P. HEADQUARTERS
(Orders with cash to: PO Box 117,
Welling, Kent DA16 3DW)

BNP Statement of Policy

A resume of the main political objectives of the British National Party. 24p post-free.

BNP Election Manifesto 1983

Booklet outlining the policies on which the British National Party fought the 1983 general election. Entitled *Vote for Britain* (23pp). 44p post-free.

BNP Badges

British National Party badges with logo in red, white and blue. Price: £1.25 post-free.

BNP key rings

Key rings with attachment bearing BNP logo in red, white and blue. £1.75 post-free.

BNP cloth logo

BNP logo in cloth, also in red, white and blue. Suitable for sowing onto anoraks, etc. £1.50 post-free.

BNP leaflets (two-sided)

How to spot a red teacher. Updated reprint of an old nationalist leaflet aimed especially at school students and giving advice on how to combat left-wing brainwashing in the classroom.

The great Tory con-trick. Leaflet exposing the way the Tories are currently trying to woo the people with patriotic and 'right-wing' slogans, while their underlying policies are leading to the destruction of Britain.

Unite with your friends or perish! Leaflet appealing to loyalist Ulster people to join forces with their supporters on the mainland. Lists BNP policies for Northern Ireland.

These leaflets cost £6.00 per 1,000 with postage charges of £2.60 for 1,000 and £3.00 for 2,000.

BNP leaflets (one-sided)

Have you been thrown on the scrapheap by foreign imports? This leaflet deals with unemployment and explains in simple terms how vast numbers of British jobs are being destroyed by the international free-trade policies of successive governments. A brief and concise argument for economic nationalism.

Violent crime in Britain: the horrifying truth. Deals with muggings, rapes and other violent attacks on defenceless people, giving examples of specific cases. Calls for much tougher treatment of violent criminals.

Hang child murderers! Very powerful leaflet calling for the death penalty for the killers of little children.

If we were black... Reprint, updated, of a previous BNP leaflet, drawing attention to special favours and privileges granted to ethnic minority groups by national and local government, and calling on Whites — particularly the young — to fight for their rights.

Immigration: the time to say STOP! Leaflet drawing attention to the still large numbers of immigrants coming into Britain and calling for repatriation.

Multi-racial Britain: the experiment that failed. Help us end it! Collage of riot photos.

Derelict Britain. Leaflet with photo exhibiting rotting urban landscape, calling upon people to help fight against the politicians who have brought this about. Mentions unemployment, bad housing, immigration, crime, etc.

Stand by Ulster! Leaflet supporting loyalists in Northern Ireland and listing BNP policies for the province.

These leaflets cost £4.50 per 1,000 with postage charges of £2.60 for 1,000 and £3.00 for 2,000.

BNP posters

Multi-racial Britain: the experiment that failed. Help us end it! Collage of riot photos.

Oppose the disarmers! Build up our forces! Make Britain strong! Photo of Royal Marine in action with machine gun.

She freezes in winter while Third World gets £1,000 million a year. Put British people before aliens! Photo

of old lady by unlit fire.

Support Ulster: smash terrorism. Hang IRA murderers! Drawing of man holding hangman's rope with wife and youngster and flag in background.

Protect British jobs: ban imports! Special unemployment poster with photo of Japanese cars coming off boat.

Protect our women and old folk: stamp out muggers! Drawing of mugging gang.

What's happened to free speech? Update of poster first produced in 1986 showing how the state is trying to silence those who oppose the alien invasion of Britain. Drawing of white man with gag.

Support White South Africa. This slogan in large white letters on blue background.

These posters measure 12.6in x 17.7in. Each contains the BNP name and address and logo in red, white and blue. Prices: 1-9 at 12p each; 10-19 at 10p each; 20-49 at 8p each; 50 or over at 6p each. Postage should be estimated on the basis of one poster weighing 10g.

BNP stickers

With slogans:-

Fight subversion: smash communism!

Put British people before aliens! (same as poster)

Start repatriation!

Make Britain strong! (same as poster)

Ban imports! (same as poster)

Stamp out muggers! (same as poster)

Hang IRA murderers! (same as poster)

Protect our young from child murderers: bring back the rope!

Scrap the Anglo-Irish Agreement: Keep Ulster British!

Love the White Race: protect its future!

Abortion is child murder: make it illegal!

Protect us from AIDS: outlaw homosexuality!

Stickers measure 3.5in x 2.5in and are available in gummed-backed or self-adhesive form, each containing BNP name and address and logo in red, white and blue. Gummed-back stickers cost £4.00 per 1,000 or 40p per 100, self-adhesive £1.50 per 100. Postage costs 26p per 100.

BNP recordings

Rally '87. 2½-hour video-recording of BNP London rally, October 31st 1987. Hear and see speeches by Ronald Rickord, Ian Sloan, Gus McLeod, Dr. Peter Peel, Richard Edmonds, Stanley Clayton-Garnett, David Bruce and John Tyndall.

Price: £15 plus 64p p&p.

Rally '82. Sound recording of BNP rally in London, October 16th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'

Side 1: Includes speech by Charles Parker.

Side 2: Speech by John Tyndall.

Tyndall Speaks I: Two studio talks:-

Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage' (about the worldwide dispersion of the peoples of British stock).

Side 2: Talk on Britain's economic crisis' (recorded in 1981).

Tyndall Speaks II: Two studio talks:-

Side 1: Talk on 'The case for nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished).

Side 2: Talk on 'Tragedy of the 20th century' (analysis of World War II).

Tyndall Speaks III: Two studio talks:-

Side 1: Talk on 'Why we must repatriate'.

Side 2: Talk on 'Foundations of the national community' (discourse on racial nationalism and its concepts of

government and citizenship).

Tyndall Speaks IV: Two studio talks:-

Side 1: Talk on 'The way to full employment'.

Side 2: Talk on 'The racial time-bomb' (A thorough demolition of the multi-racialist point of view and a warning of the dire consequences facing Britain if the multi-racial experiment is not ended).

Cassette recordings available at £3.50 plus 26p p&p.

FROM NORWICH BRANCH B.N.P.
(Orders with cash to 9 Johnson Place,
Norwich NR2 2SA)

BNP self-adhesive stickers, beer mats, ball pens, coffee mugs, etc.

BNP stickers with slogans:-

(1) **Hang convicted terrorist murderers;** (2) **Boycott the boycott: buy South African;** (3) **Put Britons first: end positive discrimination for aliens;** (4) **Stop the riots — peace through repatriation;** (5) **Smash the IRA — Keep Ulster British;** (6) **Join us now! Make Britain a riot-free zone;** (7) **Our country — love it or lose it!** (8) **Every vote counts — Vote British National Party.** Stickers are all self-adhesive, with party logo, name and address.

Prices (incl. postage): £3.50 for 250; £6.50 for 500; £12.50 for 1,000.

Three-colour round stickers with BNP logo in red, white and blue (self-adhesive).

Prices (incl. postage): 1.25in diameter £4.25 for 500; 2.5in diameter £6.25 for 500.

Spearhead stickers: 2in-square stickers advertising the magazine.

Prices (incl. postage): £1.19 per 100 or £5 for roll of 500.

BNP beer mats with large red, white and blue party logo and party name and address in blue on white background. Ideal recruitment aid for leaving in pubs or for nationalist socials.

Samples for £1 or packs at: £5/£10/£20 (incl. postage)

BNP branch fund cards. Sample card for 26p in stamps. 10 cards for £1.50 (incl. post)

BNP notepads with party logo printed in red, white and blue on each sheet. 1 notepad: £1 plus 26p post; 4 notepads: £4 plus 90p post.

BNP ball pens (mixed). All stamped with party name: 25 for £5.

BNP pencils (mixed). All stamped with party name. 30 for £5.

White china coffee mugs with famous red, white and blue BNP logo on front and back. The mugs have a half-pint capacity, and fully washable and British-made.

1-5 mugs at £2.25 each; 6-15 mugs at £2.00 each; 16-plus mugs at £1.75 each. Price does not include postage — please return postage cost on receipt of your parcel.

* * *

Candour

British views letter, founded by A.K. Chesterton to defend national sovereignty against the menace of international finance. Subscription: £6.00 per year. Obtainable from: Forest House, Liss Forest, Hants. GU33 7DD.

Behind the News

Highly informative newsletter, edited by Ivor Benson. Enquiries from UK, Europe or Australasia to: PO Box 29, Sudbury, Suffolk CO10 6EF. From North America to: PO Box 130, Flesserton, Ontario N0C 1E0, Canada. From South Africa to: PO Box 1564, Krugersdorp 1740. *Behind the News* is a must for your reading table.

The Truth at Last

Hard-hitting paper for American and other white race patriots. Sample copy for £1. Write to: PO Box 1211, Marietta, Georgia 30061, U.S.A.



BNP rosettes.
Apply to Tower
Hamlets Branch,
PO Box 300,
London E2 7BZ.
£1 each plus 25p
p&p. No p&p
charge for orders
of 10 or over.

NEW BUILDING FUND: £2,939.00 NEEDED!

We have had to put this issue to press two weeks early, and so it is difficult to assess what a month it has been for the New Building Fund. By the 9th August £135 had been received. More may come later in August.

The Fund has been launched to raise money to equip and fortify the new premises recently opened up by the British National Party in Welling, Kent.

The Fund has a target of £5,000, and so there is still a good way to go if we are to raise this total. Please mark all donations 'New Building Fund' and post them to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.


£5,000

£4,000

£3,000

£2,000

£1,000



Mr. ALAN HANCOCK

Just before this issue went to press, we were much saddened to hear of the death of Mr. Alan Hancock, the patriotic printer and publisher. A full obituary to Mr. Hancock will appear in next month's issue.

Excuse the inconvenience!

During part of August and September our administration will be a bit disrupted. This may result in some letters not getting instant replies, monies not being receipted and, possibly, subscription renewal reminders going out after readers have paid. If this happens to you, please bear with us. It is temporary, and things will be righted in the next two or three weeks.

July 29th Kent rally best ever

THE KENT RALLY staged at Ashford on July 29th turned out to be the most successful event ever organised by the BNP in the county. The crowd of around 100, not remarkable in size by the standards of some other areas, was big for this particular region of the country, and indicated real progress in what is normally difficult territory.

As well as Kent Organiser Michael Easter and party Chairman John Tyndall, the speakers included a very welcome guest from Canada in Mr. John Beattie, who spoke of the goodwill among his compatriots back home for the Mother Country. This was highly appropriate to the theme of the meeting, which was that of opposition to the EEC.

Several new enquiries have resulted.

RALLY AT ASHFORD

Top picture: Michael Easter speaks; bottom picture: John Tyndall takes platform

You are invited to join a housing co-operative. Need help? Want to help? Write to 'Housing', BM Box 3958, London WC1N 3XX.

British Nationalist

British Nationalist is a tabloid published in support of the British National Party, normally on a monthly basis. Sample copies will be sent on receipt of 39p (covering p&p). Subscription for 12 issues is £5.00 (British Isles) or £6.60 (overseas surface mail). Bulk rates are as follows:-

10 copies	£2.50	+ 65p post
25 copies	£5.50	+ £2.10 post
50 copies	£10.00	+ £2.60 post
100 copies	£17.50	+ £3.00 post
150 copies	£25.00	+ £3.45 post
200 copies	£30.00	+ £3.85 post
300 copies	£42.00	+ £5.00 post
400 copies	£55.00	+ £5.00 post
500 copies	£65.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies	£125.00) by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid confusion and delay.



Great weekend of publicity for BNP



B.N.P. ON THE MARCH IN LONDON

The party was demonstrating against the 'Troops Out' movement, which supports the IRA



THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY obtained another fine splash of publicity as a result of its march in London on August 5th against the IRA. The march was shown on one local London TV news bulletin. The name of the party was also mentioned in national news reports — though mistakenly in fact, the BNP being confused with another group demonstrating against a pro-IRA march in the city.

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the areas of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of the main units:-

NORTH WEST LONDON

BM-Box 3958, London WC1N 3XX

EAST LONDON

PO Box 300, Emma Street, Hackney, London E2 7BZ

SOUTH LONDON

PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

WEST KENT

PO Box 48, Tonbridge TN11 9JF

EAST KENT

73 Shirkoak Park, Woodchurch, Ashford

EPPING FOREST

PO Box 12, Loughton, Essex IG10 3BU

SUFFOLK

2 Albert Road, Framlingham

NORFOLK

9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

SOUTH WALES

PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB

BIRMINGHAM

PO Box 771, Great Barr, Birmingham B44 9LZ

LEICESTER

PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

LIVERPOOL

PO Box 116, Liverpool L69 1SH

MANCHESTER & SALFORD

PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

LEEDS & BRADFORD

PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

HUDDERSFIELD

PO Box A43, Huddersfield HD1 4NN

WAKEFIELD

PO Box 101, Wakefield WF2 9XY

DONCASTER

PO Box 73, Doncaster DN4 6BS

SHEFFIELD

PO Box 44, Sheffield S1 1ES

DARLINGTON

PO Box 61, Darlington, Co. Durham DL3 9RD

TYNE & WEAR

PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

SCOTLAND

PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

The BNP also has units in Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Bedford, Cambridge, Brierley Hill (West Midlands), Burton-on-Trent, Nottingham, North Nottinghamshire, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Blackburn, Blackpool, Hull, Halifax, Dewsbury, Sunderland and Inverness. If you want to make contact with any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to BNP Head Office at PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

SUPPORT FUND

Income from sales of our magazine is not enough to cover production and administrative costs. We therefore rely on regular donations from our supporters.

We are at present launching a special appeal to raise money to purchase new typesetting equipment to replace our now elderly and not always reliable machinery. We hope, however, that this appeal will not lead readers to forget our regular Support Fund, which needs contributions, as always.

Please send all monies to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please note that receipts are not normally sent for donations of less than £10 unless specifically requested, in which case an SAE would be appreciated.

New Typesetter Fund

The initial response to our New Typesetter Fund appeal last month has been most encouraging, with £500.00 raised.

We have now received an offer which we have been asked to communicate to all readers. One particular supporter has said that if ten others will contribute £200 each to the fund, he personally will, on his own,

contribute £2,000!

This, together with the money already raised, would enable us to buy the necessary machine.

We can think straightaway of at least ten supporters who should be able to manage £200 each without feeling undue hardship. Will they respond to the magnificent lead given by this one particular supporter?

We will know the answer to

that question within the next few weeks. How about it, readers? Are you willing to give ONE TENTH of what this one reader has offered? Please let us know as soon as possible!

All donations should be sent to: New Typesetter Fund, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

Let's hear from you this month!

MORE HUSHING UP RACE TROUBLE

ON THE FRONT PAGE of the July issue of our companion publication *British Nationalist* there was featured an exposure of the way in which the mass media have been deliberately suppressing news about outbreaks of racial trouble in Britain over the past months. This particular report dealt with the way in which the media played down a violent confrontation between police and black youths in Wolverhampton, which took place on the night of the 23rd May.

This report was followed up by another *BN* front page in the August/September issue, which dealt with outbreaks of race violence in Yorkshire and London. Although there has been some media reporting of these troubles, it has been nothing like on the scale warranted by the seriousness of the events concerned. In particular, the troubles in London have received almost no mention at all in the national press, and it has only been possible to find out about them from local press reports.

Now the latest area where similar trouble has occurred is Peterborough, where there is a large Asian population. The trouble took place on the night of July 31st, when a gang described as comprising "scores of teenagers" rampaged through Peterborough's Gladstone Street, wielding baseball bats and clubs. They smashed up cars and trucks and hurled bricks through the windows of one home, bringing terror to the neighbourhood.

A report of the violence appeared in the Peterborough *Evening Telegraph*, but this made no mention of the fact that the "scores of teenagers" in question were Asians, which in fact they were. As for the national news media, there was, so far as we know, no mention of the incident whatever.

This is just one more of a series of 'cover-ups' by the media of race violence in Britain. Whether such cover-ups are being arranged on orders from the Government is not known, but what is certain is that those who control our news are determined not to let on that the multi-racial society is disintegrating.



PETERBOROUGH: THE SCENE AFTER YOUNG ASIANS' RAMPAGE
Wrecked motor vans in Gladstone Street

Find out about the British National Party

Send 30p for information pack to:

P.O. BOX 117
WELLING
KENT DA16 3DW

(Telephone enquiries to 01 316 4721)

Name.....

Address.....

.....

I enclose.....